

### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### MEETING OF THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### MONDAY 18TH MAY 2009 AT 6.00 P.M.

### THE COUNCIL HOUSE, BURCOT LANE, BROMSGROVE

TO THOSE MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL TO BE NOMINATED TO SERVE ON THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD.

### AGENDA

- 1. Election of Chairman
- 2. Election of Vice-Chairman
- 3. To receive apologies for absence
- 4. Declarations of Interest
- 5. To confirm the accuracy of the minutes of the meeting of the Performance Management Board held on 17th March 2009 (Pages 1 - 6)
- 6. Customer First Strategy Review (to follow)
- 7. Comparison of 2009/10 Targets with 2008/09 Outturns (Pages 7 24)
- 8. Performance Reporting (March 2009) (Pages 25 48)
- 9. Improvement Plan Exception Report (March 2009) (Pages 49 60)
- 10. Quarterly Recommendation Tracker (Pages 61 70)
- 11. Work Programme 2009/2010 (Pages 71 76)
- 12. To consider any other business, details of which have been notified to the Head of Legal, Equalities and Democratic Services prior to the commencement of the meeting and which the Chairman, by reason of special

circumstances, considers to be of so urgent a nature that it cannot wait until the next meeting

K DICKS Chief Executive

The Council House Burcot Lane BROMSGROVE Worcestershire B60 1AA

8th May 2009

# Agenda Item 5

# BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### MEETING OF THE PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### TUESDAY, 17TH MARCH 2009 AT 6.00 P.M.

PRESENT: Councillors J. T. Duddy (Chairman), C. B. Taylor (Vice-Chairman), Mrs. M. Bunker, Miss D. H. Campbell JP, S. R. Colella and Mrs. A. E. Doyle

Invitees: Councillor D. W. P. Booth JP and Chief Inspector A. Bott

Officers: Mr. P. Street, Mr. H. Bennett, Ms. J. Pitman, Mr. A. Coel and Ms. R. Cole

### 98/08 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

An apology for absence was received from Councillor E. J. Murray.

### 99/08 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Councillor Mrs. M. Bunker declared a personal interest in agenda items 6 (Housing Strategy 2006/2011 – Progress report), 7 (Audit Commission Re-Inspection of Strategic Housing Services – Action Plan) and 8 (Bromsgrove District Housing Trust Annual Monitoring Report 2008) as a member of the Board of Bromsgrove District Housing Trust.

### 100/08 **MINUTES**

The minutes of the meeting of the Performance Management Board held on 17th February 2009 were submitted. Members were asked to note that the figure shown in recommendation (a) to Minute No 94/08 should read  $\pounds150,000$ .

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that the minutes be approved as a correct record.

### 101/08 PERFORMANCE REPORTING (JANUARY 2009) (PERIOD 10)

The Board considered a report on the Council's Performance at 31st January 2009.

As part of the discussion on this item, the Chairman welcomed Chief Inspector Amanda Bott to the meeting. Members were reminded that at the previous meeting of the Board, concern had been expressed regarding the performance indicator relating to the number of domestic burglaries, which had been showing a worsening position over the last six months.

Chief Inspector Bott responded to Members' questions and gave background information regarding the likely reasons for the increase in domestic burglaries

over the past six months. It was explained that a single persistent offender could have a significant impact on the figures if they were responsible for a number of crimes within the district. This had been the position recently in Bromsgrove with such a person now being returned to custody. In addition there had been a national increase in burglaries which was likely to be linked to the economic situation. There were also particular issues in Bromsgrove district because of its position within the motorway and road network generally which provided easy access from the surrounding conurbations.

The Chief Inspector also informed the Board of a number of initiatives and campaigns which had been introduced by the Police to reduce the numbers of burglaries and other crimes. It was stated that during the past month the indicator was again within target. The Chairman thanked Chief Inspector Bott for her attendance at the meeting.

The Head of Human Resources and Organisational Development answered queries from Members in relation to sickness figures. It was reported that a further performance clinic was to be held and that the figures in respect of long term sickness would be "stripped out" to enable the short term absences to be analysed separately. There was also a focus on ensuring that return to work interviews were being carried out properly and that the Sickness Policy was being uniformly and correctly applied by all managers. It was requested that comparative outturn sickness figures be obtained from the other District Councils in Worcestershire for consideration at the next meeting of the Board.

### **RESOLVED:**

- (a) that it be noted that 59% of performance indicators were stable or improving;
- (b) that it be noted that 80% of performance indicators which have a target were meeting their targets as at the month end and that 76% of performance indicators which have a target were predicted to meet their target at the year end;
- (c) that the performance figures for January 2009 as set out in appendix 2 to the report be noted;
- (d) that the areas of improvement as set out in section 3.4 of the report be noted; and
- (e) that the performance indicators of particular concern as set out in section 3.5 of the report be noted.

### 102/08 **EMPLOYEE SURVEY 2008**

Consideration was given to a report on the findings of the Employee Survey 2008, together with the next stage in the process in order to follow up issues identified by the survey.

It was reported that the results of the latest Employee Survey were mixed and that whilst there had been an improvement in areas such as Leadership, Working Relationships and Internal Communication, areas such as Motivation and Job Security had declined. This was not felt to be surprising in view of the Job Evaluation process and on-going Shared Services agenda. Members were also concerned that only 33% of staff had completed the survey and this

figure had declined significantly from the previous year. Officers were requested to use all efforts to improve the level of participation in future years.

The Head of Human Resources and Organisational Development confirmed that further Staff Forums had taken place and it was likely that Focus Groups would meet to address the issues identified as red or amber within the survey and to ensure that progress was maintained in areas identified as green. The Head of Human Resources and Organisational Development undertook to send the full results of the survey to all Members for information.

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that the results of the Employee Survey 2008 be noted.

### 103/08 IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION REPORT (JANUARY 2009)

The Board considered the Improvement Plan Exception report for January 2009. Following a request at the last meeting of the Board, The Executive Director – Partnerships and Projects and the Portfolio Holder for the Town Centre were in attendance and updated the Board on issues relating to the redevelopment of the Town Centre.

The issues discussed included the Market Hall site and relocation of the Market to the High Street, the refurbishment of the Toilet Block, the new Medical Centre, the Sainsbury's proposal, the Parkside Site, the Dolphin Centre and the Area Action Plan for the Town Centre. It was noted that the project plan for the Town Centre was being updated and modified as a result of the changes in circumstances which had occurred particularly in relation to the Parkside site.

It was reported that the next Improvement Plan Exception report would include a schedule of items from the Improvement Plan which had been reprogrammed.

### **RESOLVED:**

- (a) that the revisions to the Improvement Plan Exception Report together with the corrective actions being taken be approved;
- (b) that it be noted that for the 110 actions highlighted for January within the plan 86.4% was on target (green), 3.6% was one month behind (red) and none were over one month behind (red). 10% of actions had been reprogrammed with approval.

### 104/08 HOUSING STRATEGY 2006/2011 - PROGRESS REPORT, MID TERM REVIEW AND NEW ACTION PLAN

The Board considered a report on the progress made against the action plan contained in the Authority's Housing Strategy 2006/2011. The Strategic Housing Manager reported that a Mid Term Review of the strategy had been carried out following consultation with stakeholders and the results of the recent Bromsgrove Housing Market Assessment. The action plan had now been refreshed for the remaining life of the strategy and beyond. The Head of Strategic Housing responded to Members' queries in relation to areas of the action plan which were indicated as amber or red. It was

**RESOLVED** that the report be noted.

**<u>RECOMMENDED</u>** that the Portfolio Holder for Housing and Environment be requested to work with officers on the following issues:

- (a) researching the need for an outreach worker for people with mental health difficulties;
- (b) researching the health needs of homeless households and their ability to access health services (possibly through the Local Strategic Partnership Board); and
- (c) investigating the possibility of promoting the availability of alternative debt advice services in addition to the Citizens' Advice Bureau, as other providers may be able to provide a service outside normal office hours.

### 105/08 AUDIT COMMISSION RE-INSPECTION OF STRATEGIC HOUSING SERVICES - ACTION PLAN UPDATE ON PROGRESS

Consideration was given to a report on progress made in respect of the action plan which addressed the recommendations of the Audit Commission, following the re-inspection of Strategic Housing Services in February 2008. The Strategic Housing Manager responded to questions from Members in relation to the action plan which contained corporate, strategic housing and strategic planning actions. It was

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that the progress made in relation to the action plan be noted.

### 106/08 BDHT ANNUAL MONITORING REPORT 2008

The Board considered a report on the progress made over the last twelve months by the Bromsgrove District Housing Trust (BDHT) in three key performance areas. These being progress made on the outstanding promises made to tenants at the time of transfer, BDHT's contribution to meeting the four key priorities in the Council's Housing Strategy and BDHT's contribution performance in managing homelessness and other services for the Council under the Housing Agency Agreement.

Performance in all of the three areas was felt to be good. In response to a request from Members the Strategic Housing Manager undertook to request that the Council be afforded the opportunity to comment on the new "promises" document which was currently being prepared by BDHT.

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that the report be noted.

### 107/08 COUNCIL PLAN 2009/2012 PART 2

The Chairman reported that he had requested that additional information be provided to the next meeting on the baseline information relating to the proposed targets for the performance indicators. This would enable the Board to consider whether the targets were appropriate and indeed were sufficiently stretching.

The Assistant Chief Executive undertook to provide Members with a list of the 64 National Indicators which apply at the District Council Level, including the 17 which are collected by District Councils. It was noted that in future the quarterly integrated finance and performance report would contain a section on complaints received.

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that consideration of the report on the Council Plan 2009/2012 Part 2 be deferred until the next meeting of the Board.

### 108/08 WORK PROGRAMME 2009/2010

Consideration was given to a report on the Board's proposed work programme for 2009/2010.

It was requested that regular brief progress reports be produced on a monthly basis in relation to Shared Services/Value for Money as had been undertaken in respect of the Spatial Project. Members also requested that quarterly progress reports be produced on the Town Centre redevelopment and the Customer First programme.

**<u>RESOLVED</u>** that the work programme 2009/2010 be approved subject to the comments referred to above.

The meeting closed at 8.20 p.m.

<u>Chairman</u>

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 7

### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### 18 MAY 2009

### COMPARISON OF 2009/10 TARGETS WITH 2008/09 OUTTURNS

| Responsible Portfolio Holder | Cllr Mike Webb, Portfolio Holder for<br>Customer Care & Service |
|------------------------------|---|
| Responsible Head of Service  | Hugh Bennett, Assistant Chief<br>Executive                      |
| Non Key Decision             |   |

### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To report to The Board on the forward targets for 2009/10 as set out in the Council Plan 2009-12, compared to the outturns for 2008/09 and also on the National Indicators

### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 2.1 That The Board notes the comparison of targets and outturns set out in Appendix 1.
- 2.2 That The Board notes the list of National Indicators as shown at Appendix 2.

### 3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 At the March meeting of the Board members requested that a comparison of forward targets for 2009 against outturns for 2008, in order that a view could be formed as to whether the targets were adequately challenging. This comparison is shown at Appendix 1.
- 3.2 In addition, members requested details of the National Indicators which apply at District level. Appendix 2 shows the list of National indicators and highlights those applying to districts.

### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no financial implications

### 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

5.1 There are no legal implications.

### 6. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 Performance reporting & management links to the Improvement objective

### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:

•Poor performance

- 7.2 These risks are being managed as follows:
  - Robust follow up on performance issues, including performance clinics

### 8 CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Performance Improvement is a Council Objective

### 9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no implications for the Council's Equalities and Diversity Policies.

### 10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 • There are no VFM implications

### 11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues None

Personnel Issues None

Governance/Performance Management – Production of the performance report supports the aim of improving performance & performance management

Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act 1988 None

Policy None

**Environmental None** 

### 12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

| Portfolio Holder                             | Yes |
|--|-----|
| Chief Executive                              | No  |
| Executive Director (Partnerships & Projects) | No  |
| Executive Director (Services)                | No  |
| Assistant Chief Executive                    | Yes |
| Head of Service                              | Yes |
| Head of Financial Services                   | No  |

| Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic Services | No |
|---|----|
| Head of Organisational Development & HR         | No |
| Corporate Procurement Team                      | No |

# 13. WARDS AFFECTED

All Wards.

### 14. <u>APPENDICES</u>

Appendix 1Targets and Outturns comparisonAppendix 2National Indicators List

### 15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

# Contact officer

Name:John Outhwaite, Senior Policy & Performance Officeremail:j.outhwaite@bromsgrove.gov.ukTel:(01527) 881602

This page is intentionally left blank

**APPENDIX 1** 

The table below shows the corporate performance indicators in the Council Plan for 2009 onwards. Where these were measured in 2008/09 the 2008/09 target and outturn is shown. Where appropriate a commentary follows the PI to provide some context.

|          |   |                 | Set targets             | 2008-09 target / |                  | Targets          |                  |
|----------|---|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| PI Ref   | PI Description  | HoS             | or baseline<br>in 2009? | outturn          | 09/10            | 10/11            | 21/11            |
| Priority | Priority - Town centre- Outcome measures  |                 |                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|          | %age satisfied with retail & leisure<br>facilities in town centre (source -<br>Customer Panel survev) | Phil Street     | F                       | 20%              | 20%              | 21%              | 22%              |
|          | %age satisfied with transport links<br>to/from the town centre (source -<br>Customer Panel survev)    | Hugh<br>Bennett | F                       | 25%              | 26%              | 27%              | 28%              |
|          | %age satisfied with Christmas lights<br>(source - Customer Panel survey                               | Phil Street     | F                       | 35%              | 37%              | 39%              | 41%              |
|          | %age satisfied with the range and<br>quality of shops (source - Customer<br>Panel survey)             | Phil Street     | F                       | 18%              | 18%              | 19%              | 20%              |
| Priority | Priority - Town centre – Output measures  |                 |                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|          | Net number of new businesses in<br>town centre (with a shop front). New<br>indicator                  | Phil Street     | ۵                       | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              |
|          | Town centre car park usage. New indicator   | Mike Bell       | ш                       | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              |
|          | Community transport usage. New indicator  | Hugh<br>Bennett | ш                       | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              |
|          | Shopmobility centre usage. New indicator  | Mike Bell       | Т                       | n/a              | 150 per<br>month | 160 per<br>month | 170 per<br>month |
| Priority | Priority - Housing - Outcome measures   |                 |                         |                  |                  |                  |                  |
|          | Satisfaction measures for DFGs<br>(existing survey to be revised)                                     | Dave<br>Hammond | в                       | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              | n/a              |
| NI 155   | No. of affordable homes delivered   | Dave<br>Hammond | г                       | 80 / 145         | 80               | 80               | 80               |

| & outturn                                  |
|--|
| targets                                    |
| I to 2008/09 targets &                     |
| ompared to 2008/09 targets & ou            |
| argets col                                 |
| orward targ                                |
| Council Plan Forward targets compared to 2 |
| Cou  |

| PI Rat         PI Description         Hos         outsuint         0910         1011         1112           Commentary         Commentary         Description         Hos         in 20097         and sin 07/06. Therefore with bur 145 this in 20067 and sin 07/06. Therefore with bur 145 this developed are stated to the stategy we are just about on tragate the ond ingrant bur scheme state the prevention of target. We only have definite schemes that promite oblevery of another 158 developed an originally started in 07/08 and originally started in 07/08 and originally started in 07/08 and originally based of target. We only have definite scheme state that promite oblevery of another 158 developed an originally started in 07/08 and originally started in 07/08 and originally started in 07/08 and sin 07/08. Therefore with bur 145 this developed in the same year were delayed until 2008/09. Which parity explains the appraemative scheme state the target for the year.         34 / 13         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         <34 / 34         34 / 34         <34  |            |  |                 | Set targets                            | / +00/2010-80006                           |                                   | Targets          |                         |
|---|------------|--|-----------------|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| Commentary         Commentary         2011, Three years in the strategy we are just about on target thaving delivered 72 in 06/07 and 46 in 07/08. Therefore with our 145 this year, our average over 3 years is 88 pa, slightly ahead of target. We only have define schemes that promise delivery of another 158 year, our average over 3 years. Therefore if all corners to fruition we will just about make our five year traget. A considerable number of units originally strated in 07/08 and originally due to be completed in the same year were delayed until 2008(09, which partly explains the apparently very high outtum compared to target for the year.         N1 155       No. of households occupying       Dave       T       34 / 13       < 34 / 34         No. of households occupying       Dave       T       34 / 13       < 34 / 34       < 34 / 34         Commentary       We had 68 families in temporary accommodation       Hammond       T       34 / 13       < 34 / 34       < 34 / 34         Commentary       We had 68 families in temporary accommodation       Hammond       Fanote the target for all authorities to reduce the other strates of the state and trading before the state accommodation         34 / 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 <        < 34 < </th <th>PI Ref</th> <th>PI Description</th> <th>HoS</th> <th>or baseline<br/>in 2009?</th> <th>outturn</th> <th>09/10</th> <th>10/11</th> <th>11/12</th>  | PI Ref     | PI Description   | HoS             | or baseline<br>in 2009?                | outturn                                    | 09/10                             | 10/11            | 11/12                   |
| The target is set at 80 per amum as this reflects the Housing Strategy target to achieve delivery of 400 units over 5 year period (2006 -<br>2011). Three years in the strategy we are lipst about on target having delivered 72 in 60/01 and 46 in 07/08. Therefore with our 145 this year, our average over 3 years is 88 pa signity affect on any 6 only have edimite schemes that promise delivery of another 158 the units inging due to be completed in the same year were delayed until 2008(09, which party explains the apparently very high outturn compared to target for the year.<br>NI 156 No. of households occupying Dave T 34 / 13 < 34 < 34 < 34 < 34<br>Commentary the more and 68 finnies in temporary accommodation when the goverment set the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary accommodation by the goverment set the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary accommodation by the dealer of 43 or less is derived from. We drass the end of this larget two years ahead of the same set the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary accommodation by the Governments and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary factor or an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will find to below the Governments at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in temporary accommodation. The governments the end of this last year were down to 13 in temporary accommodation the governments and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary factor an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless: a result of the unability to manage the position especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless. The arget is for animation of the summore down the Governments at the end of this last year were down to 13 in temporary accommodation the figure frame and trunding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary accommodation the figure frame estimate the end of this last year were down to 13 in temporary accommodation the governments are to the end of this last year were aclass for the end of the last   | Comme      | ntary  |                 |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| 2011, Three years much be strategore are supplication or rady: and and prover the next two years. Therefore will year about make our five year target. A considerable number of weelings over the next two years. Therefore will year about make our five year target. A considerable number of apparently very high outturn compared to target for the year.       N1 155     No. of households occupying     T     34 / 13     < 34   | The targ   | get is set at 80 per annum as this reflects  | the Housing S   | trategy target to                      | achieve delivery of                        | 400 units over                    | r a 5 year peri  | od (2006 –              |
| Year, our average over y sears to so as signity aread or larget. We only make oermites reamers may a morturer loos over the meet two years. Therefore fail corners to futtion we will just solution the year target. A considerable number of units originally started in 07/08 and originally due to be completed in the same year were delayed until 2008/09, which partly explains the apparently very high outturn compared to target for the year.         NI 156       No of households occupying       Dave       T       34 / 13       < 34   | 2011). I   | hree years into the strategy we are just a   | bout on target  | having delivere                        | d /2 in 06/0/ and 4(                       | o in U//U8. The                   | eretore with ou  | Ir 145 this             |
| M1 155       No. of households occupying       Dave       T       34 / 13       < 34  | year, ou   | ir average over 3 years is 88 pa, slightly a   | nead of target  | . We only have                         | definite schemes th                        | at promise dell                   | ivery of anothe  | er 158<br>Sumber of     |
| apparently very high outurn compared to target for the year.34 / 13< 34< 34< 34NI 156No. of households occupyingDaveT34 / 13< 34  | units oric | is over the next two years. Therefole in an<br>ainally started in 07/08 and originally due | to be complet   | ion we will just a<br>ed in the same v | ibout make our iive<br>rear were delaved u | year target. A<br>ntil 2008/09. v | vhich partly ex  | number or<br>plains the |
| NI 156No. of households occupying<br>temporary accommodationDave<br>HammondT34 / 13< 34< 34< 34Commentary<br>temporary accommodationtemporary accommodationHammondT34 / 13< 34 / 13   | apparen    | atly very high outturn compared to target f  | or the year.    |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| NI 156         No. of households occupying         Dave         T         34 / 13         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34         < 34   |            |  |                 |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| Itemporary accommodation     Hammond       Commentary     Commentary       We had 68 families in temporary accommodation when the government set the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary accommodation by 50% by 2010, which is where the target of 34 or less is derived from We drastically reduced the figure in Bromsgrove accommodation this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homelessness preventative services introduced and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homelessness preventative services introduced and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target to a absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit to brow the Governments target to accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit be and forwitur       Periority - Housing - Output     Average time from referral to     T     No target / 32     34 weeks     34 weeks       Average time from referral to     Dave     T     No target / 32     38 weeks     35 weeks     35 weeks       Average time from referral to     Dave     T     No target / 35     34 weeks     52 weeks     52 weeks       Average time from referral to     Hammond     T     No target / 42     38 weeks     52 weeks     52 weeks       Average time from referral to     Hammond   | NI 156     | No. of households occupying  | Dave            | Т                                      | 34 / 13                                    | < 34                              | < 34             | < 34                    |
| Commentary<br>We had 68 families in temporary accommodation when the government set the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary<br>accommodation by 50% by 2010, which is where the target of 34 or less is derived from. We drastically reduced the figure in Bromsgrove<br>accommodation by 50% by 2010, which is where the target of 34 or less is derived from. We drastically reduced the figure in Bromsgrove<br>and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary flats for use instead of hostels. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in<br>the transpectommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position<br>below the Governments target or 34 and of course our an its to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit<br>our ability to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the<br>economic downtum.<br><b>Priority - Housing - Output measures</b><br>Average time from referral to<br>Average time from referral to<br>2 Average time from referral to<br>3 Average time from referral to<br>4 Average time from refere to<br>4 Average time from referral to<br>4 Averag |            | temporary accommodation  | Hammond         |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| We had 68 families in temporary accommodation when the target for all authorities to reduce use of temporary accommodation by 50% by 2010, which is where the target of 34 or less is derived from. We farstically reduced the figure in Bromsgrove to below this target two years ahead of the deadline. We find this through a commination of homelessness preventative services introduced and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary flats for use instead of hostels. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in temporary accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit our ability to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the economic downtum. The area of the family to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the consolic downtum. But factors out of our control will limit to area of the reading to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the consolic downtum. Proving - Output measures target to Dave T No target / 35 at weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks as completion for DFGs - category 2 Hammond T No target / 42 38 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks area weeks completion for DFGs - category 2 Hammond measure a down of 58 52 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks area approved schemes and and a more area and and and and and and and and and an  | Comme      | ntary  | ;               |  | :  | •                                 | ļ                |                         |
| accommodation by 50% by 2010, which is where the target of 34 or less is derived from. We drastically reduced the figure in Bromsgrove to below this target two years anead of the deadline. We did this target we were down to 13 in temporary accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless preventative services introduced and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary flats for use instand of hostists. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in temporary accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit economic downtum.  Priority - Housing - Output masures  Average time from referral to  Average time   | We had     | 68 families in temporary accommodation   | when the gove   | ernment set the                        | target for all authori                     | ties to reduce                    | use of tempor    | ary                     |
| to below this target two years ahead of the deadline. We did this through a combination of homelessness preventative services introduced<br>and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary fars for use instead of hostels. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in<br>the provide dispersed temporary fars for use instead of hostels. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in<br>below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit<br>our ability to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position<br>our ability to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the<br>economic downtum.Priority - Housing - Output measuresTotave<br>Average time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - category 1Hammond<br>HammondTNo target / 3534 weeks<br>34 weeks34 weeks34 weeksAverage time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - category 1Hammond<br>HammondTNo target / 3534 weeks34 weeks35 weeksAverage time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - category 2Hammond<br>HammondTNo target / 3286 weeks52 weeks52 weeksAverage time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - category 3Dave<br>HammondTNo target / 8852 weeks52 weeks52Average time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - category 3Dave<br>HammondTNo target / 8852 weeks5252Average time from referral to<br>completion for DFGs - catego  | accomm     | nodation by 50% by 2010, which is where  | the target of 3 | 4 or less is deriv                     | /ed from. We drastic                       | cally reduced t                   | he figure in Br  | omsgrove                |
| and funding BDHT to provide dispersed temporary flats for use instead of hostels. Whilst at the end of this last year we were down to 13 in temporary accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit our ballow thurn. But downturn. But factors out of our control will limit economic downturn. But accomments are accommented experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the economic downturn. Proteity 1 Hammond T No target / 35 34 weeks 34 weeks 34 weeks completion for DFGs - category 1 Hammond T No target / 58 35 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks 52 weeks completion for DFGs - category 2 Hammond T No target / 58 52 weeks 35 weeks 52 weeks approved scheme s Activity n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a n/a n/a n/a n/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m/a m   | to below   | this target two years ahead of the deadli  | ne. We did thi  | s through a com                        | bination of homeles                        | sness prevent                     | ative services   | introduced              |
| temporary accommodation, this figure fluctuates dependent upon cases presenting as homeless. The target is to maintain our position below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit economic downtum.<br>Defout the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit economic downtum.<br>Priority - Housing - Output measures<br>Average time from referral to Dave T No target / 35 34 weeks 34 weeks 35 weeks approved perform for DFGs - category 2 Hammond T No target / 42 38 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks 35 weeks approved perform for DFGs - category 2 Hammond T No target / 58 52 weeks 35 weeks 40 model approved schemes approved schemes Hammond measure Model to not of the mond measure approved schemes Hammond measure Activity n/a   | and func   | ding BDHT to provide dispersed temporar  | y flats for use | instead of hoste                       | ls. Whilst at the end                      | of this last ye                   | ar we were do    | wn to 13 in             |
| below the Governments target or 34 and of course our aim is to keep usage to an absolute minimum. But factors out of our control will limit<br>our ability to manage the position, especially if we experience an upturn in repossessions presenting as homeless as a result of the<br>economic downturn<br><b>Priority - Housing - Output measures</b><br>Average time from referral to that the mond to break the from referral to the meeks the from referral to the break the mond to break the from referral to the mond to break the mond to break the from referral to the mond to break the from referral to the mond to break the mond to break the mond to break the mond to break the from referral to the meeks the meeks to the meeks the mond to break the from referral to the mond to break the mond to the measure the mond to break the mond the measure the measure the measure the measure the measure the measure the mond the measure the mond the measure the mond the measure the measure the mond the measure the mea   | tempora    | Iry accommodation, this figure fluctuates  | dependent upo   | on cases presen                        | ting as homeless. T                        | he target is to                   | maintain our p   | osition                 |
| Bave       T       No target / 35       34 weeks       34 weeks         Dave       T       No target / 35       34 weeks       34 weeks         Dave       T       No target / 42       38 weeks       35 weeks         Dave       T       No target / 42       38 weeks       35 weeks         Dave       T       No target / 58       52 weeks       52 weeks         Dave       T       No target / 58       52 weeks       52 weeks         Dave       Activity       n/a       n/a       n/a   | below th   | ie Governments target or 34 and of cours   | e our aim is to | keep usage to a                        | an absolute minimur                        | n. But factors                    | out of our con   | trol will limit         |
| Output measuresCime from referral toDaveTNo target / 3534 weeks34 weeksin for DFGs - category 1HammondTNo target / 4238 weeks35 weeksin for DFGs - category 2HammondTNo target / 4238 weeks35 weeksin for DFGs - category 2HammondTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksin for DFGs - category 3HammondTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksin for DFGs - category 3Hammondmeeks52 weeks52 weeks52 weeksin for DFGs - category 3Hammondmeeks52 weeks52 weeks52 weeksin for DFGs - category 3Hammondmeasuren/an/an/ain for DFGs - catego   | economic   | ty to manage the position, especially if we<br>lic downturn                                | experience a    | n upturn in repo                       | ssessions presentin                        | g as nomeles:                     | s as a result or | au                      |
| leTNo target / 3534 weeks34 weeksnmondTweeks34 weeks34 weekseTNo target / 4238 weeks35 weeksnmondTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weekseTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksnmondmondn/an/an/aeActivityn/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/a   | Priority   | - Housing - Output measures  |                 |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| mmondweeksseeks35 weekseTNo target / 4238 weeks35 weeksnmondTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weekseTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksnmondweeksn/an/an/aeActivityn/an/an/amondmeasuren/an/an/amondmeasuren/an/an/amondmeasuren/an/an/amondmeasuren/an/an/a  |            | Average time from referral to  | Dave            | T                                      | No target / 35                             | 34 weeks                          | 34 weeks         | 34 weeks                |
| leTNo target / 4238 weeks35 weeksnmondweeks38 weeks55 weeksnmondTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksnmondweeksn/an/an/aeActivityn/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/a   |            | completion for DFGs - category 1   | Hammond         |  | weeks                                      |                                   |                  |                         |
| mmondweekssceks52 weeks(eTNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksmmondMmondweeksn/an/a(eActivityn/an/an/ammondmeasuren/an/an/a(eActivityn/an/an/ammondmeasuren/an/an/ammondmeasuren/an/ammondmeasuren/an/a   |            | Average time from referral to  | Dave            | F                                      | No target / 42                             | 38 weeks                          | 35 weeks         | 35 weeks                |
| (e)TNo target / 5852 weeks52 weeksnmondActivityn/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/a(e)Activityn/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/anmondmeasuren/an/an/a   |            | completion for DFGs – category 2   | Hammond         |  | weeks                                      |                                   |                  |                         |
| mmond weeks n/a   |            | Average time from referral to  | Dave            | Т                                      | No target / 58                             | 52 weeks                          | 52 weeks         | 52 weeks                |
| re Activity n/a   |            | completion for DFGs - category 3   | Hammond         |  | weeks                                      |                                   |                  |                         |
| mmond measure have n/a n/a n/a n/a mmond measure  |            | % of DFG budget allocated to   | Dave            | Activity                               | e/u  | n/a                               | n/a              | n/a                     |
| re Activity n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a   |            | approved schemes   | Hammond         | measure                                |  |                                   |                  |                         |
| nmond   |            | % of DFG budget spent  | Dave            | Activity                               | u/a  | n/a                               | n/a              | n/a                     |
| Priority – Sense of Community Outcome measures  |            |  | Hammond         | measure                                |  |                                   |                  |                         |
|   | Priority   | <ul> <li>Sense of Community Outcome meas</li> </ul>  | sures           |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |
|   | •          | ×  |                 |  |  |                                   |                  |                         |

| PI Ref<br>Anti Socia<br>NI 17<br>NI 21 | PI Description  |           | , <b>:</b>              |         |       | -<br>-<br> |       | Т |
|--|---|-----------|-------------------------|---------|-------|------------|-------|---|
| Socia                                  |   | 2         | or baseline<br>in 2009? | outturn | 09/10 | 10/11      | 11/12 |   |
| 1                                      | Anti Social Behaviour and Crime                                     |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Perception of anti social behaviour -                               | Mike Bell | ш                       | n/a     | n/a   | n/a        | e/u   |   |
|  | Annual (source - Place survey/                                      |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
| <u>_</u>                               | Customer Panel survey)  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Dealing with local concerns about                                   | Mike Bell | В                       | e/u     | n/a   | n/a        | e/u   |   |
|  | anti-social behaviour and crime issues                              |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | by the local council and police -                                   |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Annual (source - Place survey/                                      |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Customer Panel survey)  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
| NI 27                                  | Understanding of local concerns                                     | Mike Bell | В                       | e/u     | n/a   | n/a        | e/u   |   |
|  | about anti-social behaviour and crime                               |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | issues by the local council and police                              |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | – Annual (source - Place survey/                                    |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Customer Panel survey)  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
| nmuni                                  | Community Events  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Active survey (Sport England) -                                     | Mike Bell | T                       |         | 68%   | %02        | %72   |   |
|  | Satisfaction  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Satisfaction with parks & open spaces                               | Mike Bell | F                       | 67%     | 69%   | 71%        | 73%   |   |
|  | (source - Customer Panel Survey)                                    |           |                         |         |       |            |       | T |
|  | Satisfaction with bandstand (source -                               | Mike Bell | F                       | 34%     | 34%   | 36%        | 38%   |   |
|  | Customer Panel Survey)  |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Satisfaction with street theatre                                    | Mike Bell | F                       | 29%     | 31%   | 33%        | 35%   |   |
|  | (source - Customer Panel Survey)                                    |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Satisfaction with indoor sports                                     | Mike Bell | F                       | 26%     | 28%   | 30%        | 32%   |   |
|  | facilities (source - Customer Panel                                 |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Survey)   |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Satisfaction with outdoor sports                                    | Mike Bell | T                       | 22%     | 24%   | 26%        | %87   |   |
|  | facilities (source - Customer Panel                                 |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Survey)   |           |                         |         |       |            |       |   |
|  | Satisfaction with bonfire night (source<br>- Customer Panel Survey) | Mike Bell | F                       | 23%     | 25%   | 27%        | 29%   |   |
|  | Overall satisfaction with cultural &                                | Mike Rell | F                       | %8C     | 28%   | 30%        | 70CE  | Т |

|            |  |                 | Set targets             | 2008-09 target / |       | Targets |       |
|------------|--|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| PI Ref     | PI Description   | HoS             | or baseline<br>in 2009? | outturn          | 09/10 | 10/11   | 11/12 |
|            | recreational facilities (source -<br>Customer Panel Survey)      |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| NI 11      | Engagement in the Arts (county wide)                             | Mike Bell       | Т                       | n/a              | 51%   | 52%     | 53%   |
| Commui     | Community influence  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| NI 4       | % of people who feel that they can                               | Hugh            | B                       | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|            | Intituence decisions in their locality<br>Annual (source - Place | bennett         |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | Survey/Customer Panel survey)                                    |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | Awareness of Budget jury process                                 | Hugh<br>Bonnott | В                       | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
| Canea O    |  | חכוווכוו        |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| NI 3       | Civic participation in the local area                            | Claire          | α                       | e/u              | e/u   | e/u     | e/u   |
|            | (source - Place survey/ Customer                                 | Felton          | נ                       | 2                |       | ä       | 0     |
|            | Panel survey)  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| NI 1       | % of people who believe people from                              | Claire          | В                       | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|            | different backgrounds get on well                                | Felton          |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | together in their local area (source -                           |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | Place survey/ Customer Panel                                     |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | survey)  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | NI 2 - % of people who feel that they                            | Mike Bell       | В                       | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|            | pelong to their heighbourhood (source                            |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | - Flace survey/ Customer Panel<br>survev)                        |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
|            | Electoral turnout  | Claire          | T                       |                  | n/a   | n/a     | 38%   |
|            |  | Felton          |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| Priority . | Priority – Sense of Community Output measur                      | es              |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| Anti Soc   | Anti Social Behaviour and Crime                                  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| CS2        | Diversionary activities – number of                              | Mike Bell       | Г                       | 157 / 176        | 157   | 165     | 173   |
|            | sessions provided  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |
| CS3        | Diversionary activities – number of                              | Mike Bell       | F                       | 436 / 617        | 436   | 458     | 481   |
|            | users attending  |                 |                         |                  |       |         |       |

|               |  |           | Set targets             | 2008-09 target /     |         | Targets |         |
|---------------|--|-----------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| PI Ref        | PI Description   | HoS       | or baseline<br>in 2009? | outturn              | 09/10   | 10/11   | 11/12   |
| NWBCU1        | Number of Burglaries                                       | Mike Bell | Т                       | 360 / 438            | 360     | n/a     | n/a     |
| <b>NWBCU2</b> | Number of violent crimes                                   | Mike Bell | Т                       | 1056 / 973           | 1056    | n/a     | n/a     |
| <b>NWBCU3</b> | Number of robberies  | Mike Bell | Т                       | 60 / 61              | 60      | n/a     | n/a     |
| NWBCU4        | Number of vehicle crimes                                   | Mike Bell | T                       | 768 / 744            | 768     | n/a     | n/a     |
|               | % of PACT meetings attended by                             | Hugh      | н                       | 85% / 85%            | 85%     | 85%     | 85%     |
| 0100          | CCTV incidents renorted - Orime                            | Mike Bell | F                       | 2083 / 3407          | 2083    | 3600    | 3600    |
| CS1B<br>CS1B  | CCTV incidents reported – Cititie                          | Mike Bell | -                       | 1047 / 991           | 1047    | 1100    | 1100    |
|               | Number of Domestic violence                                | Mike Bell | - m                     | n/a                  | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     |
|               | incidents investigated - new local PI<br>from CDRP figures |           |                         |                      |         |         |         |
|               | % of DV incidents resulting in a                           | Mike Bell | в                       | n/a                  | n/a     | n/a     | n/a     |
|               | charge – new local PI from CDRP<br>figures                 |           |                         |                      |         |         |         |
| Commui        | Community Events   |           |                         |                      |         |         |         |
| SC1           | Attendance at arts events                                  | Mike Bell | F                       | 25, 253 / 20,642     | 25.250  | 25,750  | 26,275  |
| SC2           | Attendance at bonfire                                      | Mike Bell | T                       | 11,339 / 2,757       | 11,350  | 11,575  | 11,800  |
| SC3           | Sports centres usages                                      | Mike Bell | T                       | 672,420 /<br>627,404 | 672,420 | 755,425 | 787,767 |
| SC4           | Sports development usages                                  | Mike Bell | F                       | 20,505 / 21,219      | 22,556  | 24,812  | 26,053  |
| NI 8          | Adult Participation in Sport                               | Mike Bell | T                       | n/a                  | 22%     | 23%     | n/a     |
|               | Active survey (Sport England) –<br>Participation           | Mike Bell | F                       | n/a                  | 24.7%   | 25.7%   | 26.7%   |
|               | Active survey (Sport England) –<br>Volunteering            | Mike Bell | Т                       | n/a                  | %2      | %2      | %2      |
|               | Active survey (Sport England) – Club<br>Membership         | Mike Bell | F                       | n/a                  | 30%     | 31%     | 32%     |
|               | Active survey (Sport England) –<br>Receiving Tuition       | Mike Bell | Г                       | n/a                  | 20.7%   | 21.7%   | 22.7%   |
|               | Active survey (Sport England) –<br>Organised competition   | Mike Bell | Т                       | n/a                  | 18.5%   | 19.5%   | 20.5%   |
| Commui        | Community influence  |           |                         |                      |         |         |         |

|         |  |                  | Set tarnets               |                  |       | Tarnets |       |
|---------|--|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------|---------|-------|
| PI Ref  | PI Nescrintion   | SoH              | oct taigets<br>or heeding | 2008-09 target / |       | 222     |       |
|         |  | 201              | in 2009?                  | outturn          | 09/10 | 10/11   | 11/12 |
|         | % of PACT meetings attended by<br>CMT members (quarterly)  | Hugh<br>Bennett  | Т                         | 85% / 85%        | 85%   | 85%     | 85%   |
| NI 3    | Civic participation in the local area<br>Annual  | Claire<br>Felton | В                         | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | (source - Place Survey/ Customer<br>Panel survey)  |                  |                           |                  |       |         |       |
|         | Number of children attending "You decide" consultation events  | Phil Street      | Ь                         | n/a              | 80    | 06      | 100   |
|         | Number of responses to internet<br>budget consultation   | Hugh<br>Bennett  | T                         | n/a              | 30    | 40      | 50    |
|         | %age of residents who know who   | Claire           | F                         | 40%              | 42%   | 43%     | 45%   |
|         | their local councillor is (source -<br>Customer Panel Survey)  | Felton           |                           |                  |       |         |       |
|         | Number of lifeline units in use  | Mike Bell        | Т                         | 556 / 547        |       |         |       |
| Sense o | Sense of Community   |                  |                           |                  |       |         |       |
| NIG     | participation in regular volunteering<br>Annual (source - Place survey/<br>Customer Panel survey)                    | Hugh<br>Bennett  | В                         | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | Proportion of members of the<br>Equalities and Diversity forum and   | Claire<br>Felton | а                         | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | Disabled Users Group satisfied with<br>the Council – new local indicator   |                  |                           |                  |       |         |       |
|         | Number of people attending E & D<br>events (e.g. Divali, Black history<br>month) new local indicator                 | Claire<br>Felton | В                         | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | %age of electoral age on electoral roll<br>– new local indicator   | Claire<br>Felton | ш                         | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | No. of hate crime incidents  | Claire<br>Felton | activity<br>measure       | n/a              | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   |
|         | % of reported hate crime incidents<br>requiring further action that received<br>further action – new local indicator | Claire<br>Felton | F                         | n/a              | 100%  | 100%    | 100%  |

|                                 |   |                              | Set targets                        | 2008-09 target /  |               | Targets                         |                             |
|---------------------------------|---|------------------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PI Ref                          | PI Description  | HoS                          | or baseline<br>in 2009?            | outturn   | 09/10         | 10/11                           | 11/12                       |
|                                 | %age of residents who remember<br>receiving 'Together Bromsgrove' –<br>(source - Customer Panel Survey)   | Hugh<br>Bennett              | F                                  | 62%   | 65%           | %02                             | %92                         |
|                                 | %age of residents who found<br>'Together Bromsgrove' useful –<br>(source - Customer Panel Survey)   | Hugh<br>Bennett              | F                                  | 62%   | 65%           | 70%                             | 75%                         |
| Priority                        | Priority – Street Scene & Climate Change – Out  | itcome measures              | ures                               |   |               |                                 |                             |
|                                 | Satisfaction with cleanliness of your<br>street (source - Customer Panel<br>survey)   | Mike Bell                    | F                                  | 58%   | 62%           | 63%                             | 65%                         |
| NI 5                            | Overall general satisfaction with the<br>area (source - add question to<br>Customer Panel survey in years when<br>Place survey not held)  | Kevin<br>Dicks               | F                                  | n/a   | 55%           | 60%                             | 65%                         |
| NI 194                          | Level of air quality – reduction of NOx<br>and primary PM10 emission through<br>local authority estate & operations   | Phil Street                  | ш                                  | n/a   | n/a           | n/a                             | n/a                         |
| NI 185                          | CO2 reduction from local authority operations   | Phil Street                  | в                                  | n/a   | n/a           | n/a                             | n/a                         |
| Priority                        | Priority – Street Scene & Climate Change – Ou   | itput measures               | es                                 |   |               |                                 |                             |
|                                 | Missed household collections  | Mike Bell                    | F                                  | 1400 / 1136   | 1140          | 1018                            | 1000                        |
| NI 101                          | Missed recycle collections  | Mike Bell<br>Mike Bell       | ⊢⊢                                 | 600 / 281<br>50340 / 58640  | 240<br>50364  | 229<br>50364                    | 210<br>503kg                |
| NI 192                          | %age waste re-used, recycled or<br>composted  | Mike Bell                    | -                                  | 45% / 43.25%  | 30%           | 35%                             | 40%                         |
| Commer<br>Nis 191a<br>of the 38 | Commentary on NI 191 and NI 192<br>Nis 191and 192 are linked to the reduction in green waste. We are now charging for this service. We currently have 13,600 properties out<br>of the 38.000 total that have signed up. This means a reduction in the volume of green waste collected hence our reducing figures. It also | en waste. We<br>sa reductior | are now chargin<br>in the volume o | g for this service. We  | ed hence our  | ve 13,600 prol<br>reducina fiau | perties out<br>res. It also |
| means th                        | means that more people are placing green waste i  | in residual bii              | ns and therefore                   | in residual bins and therefore increasing the kg's collected. The 30% figure reflects the | ollected. The | 30% figure re                   | flects the                  |

|  |   |   |  |  | ,                            | T                                |                               |
|--|---|---|--|--|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| PI Ref                                       | PI Description  | HoS   | set targets<br>or baseline<br>in 2009? | 2008-09 target / - outturn   | 09/10                        | 1 argets<br>10/11                | 11/12                         |
| charging of gr<br>improvement.               | charging of green, the 35 and 40% in future years improvement.  |   | of our change t                        | are because of our change to a co-mingled collection service which will show an  | tion service v               | which will show                  | an                            |
| NI 195                                       | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - graffiti   | Mike Bell   | F                                      | 5% / 2%  | 5%<br>(4%)                   | 5%<br>(3%)                       | 5%<br>(2%)                    |
| NI 195                                       | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - litter   | Mike Bell   | F                                      | 13% / 6%   | 13%<br>(10%)                 | 13%<br>(8%)                      | 13%<br>(6%)                   |
| NI 195                                       | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - detritus   | Mike Bell   | F                                      | 20% / 15%  | 20%<br>(15%)                 | 20%<br>(15%)                     | 20%<br>(15%)                  |
| NI 195                                       | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - fly posting  | Mike Bell   | F                                      | 1% / 0%  | 1%                           | 1%                               | 1%                            |
| Commer<br>We had a<br>year end<br>NI 195 fig | Commentary on NI 195<br>We had a change in the system of measurement I<br>year end has proved to be better than we would o<br>NI 195 figures to those shown in brackets above | ast year which meant we<br>riginally have expected. | h meant we wer<br>expected. As a       | ast year which meant we were less able to predict what would happen. The outturn at the riginally have expected. As a consequence we could now change the targets for 3 of the | what would  <br>uld now char | happen. The o<br>nge the targets | utturn at the<br>for 3 of the |
| NI 196                                       | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness fly tipping  | Mike Bell   | F                                      | 2/2  | 2                            | 2                                | 2                             |
| NI 187<br>Corpora                            | NI 187 Tackling fuel poverty<br>Corporate and key service measures  | Phil Street   | В                                      | n/a  | n/a                          | n/a                              | n/a                           |
| NI 157                                       | Speed of processing planning<br>applications – major  | Dave<br>Hammond                                     | н                                      | 75% / 69%<br>(within 13 weeks)   | 80%                          | 85%                              | 85%                           |
| NI 157                                       | Speed of processing planning<br>applications –minor   | Dave<br>Hammond                                     | F                                      | 80% / 77%<br>(within 8 weeks)  | 85%                          | 85%                              | 85%                           |
| NI 157                                       | Speed of processing planning applications –other  | Dave<br>Hammond                                     | F                                      | 90% / 89%<br>(within 8 weeks)  | %06                          | %06                              | %06                           |
| CSCLP1                                       | CSC - resolution at 1 <sup>st</sup> point of contact,   | Deb<br>Poole  | F                                      | %66 / %06  | 95%                          | 95%                              | 95%                           |
| CSCLP2                                       | CSC - % of calls answered   | Deb<br>Poole  | Т                                      | 85% / 87%  | 85%                          | 85%                              | 85%                           |
| CSCLP3                                       | CSC - av. speed of answer   | Deb   | н                                      | 30 secs / 30 secs  | 20 secs                      | 20 secs                          | 15 secs                       |

|        |  |                    | Set targets             | 2008-00 toract /          |           | Targets  |         |
|--------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------|---------|
| PI Ref | PI Description   | HoS                | or baseline<br>in 2009? | outturn                   | 01/60     | 10/11    | 11/12   |
|        |  | Poole              |                         |                           |           |          |         |
| NI 181 | time to process HOB/CT benefit   | Jayne<br>Pickering | F                       | 16 days / 15.03<br>davs   | 15 days   | 15 days  | 15 days |
|        | Total value of HB overnavments   |                    | activity                | olab<br>n/a               | 6/4       | e/u      | e/u     |
|        | outstanding at the start of the quarter.   | Pickering          | measure                 |                           |           |          |         |
| LPIB1b | Total value of HB overpayments identified  | Jayne              | activity                | n/a                       | n/a       | n/a      | n/a     |
|        | auring the quarter   | Pickering          | measure                 |                           |           |          |         |
| LPIB1c | % of HB overpayments recovered during<br>the quarter of the outstanding debt.          | Jayne<br>Pickering | Т                       | n/a                       | 15%       | 20%      | 25%     |
| LPIB1d | Maximum % of the outstanding HB<br>overpayments debt written off during the<br>quarter | Jayne<br>Pickering | т                       | n/a                       | 2%        | 2%       | 2%      |
| NI 179 | VFM total net value of cash releasing gains since the start of 2008-09                 | Jayne<br>Pickering | Т                       | £602k / £615k             | £876k     | £1,341k  | £1,472k |
|        | %age of invoices paid within 10 days of receipt  | Jayne<br>Pickering | Т                       | n/a                       | %06       | %06      | %06     |
|        | %age of invoices paid within 30 days of receipt  | Jayne<br>Pickering | Т                       | 98% / 99%                 | 98%       | 98%      | 98%     |
|        | Number of complaints received  | Hugh<br>Bennett    | activity<br>measure     | n/a                       | e/u       | n/a      | n/a     |
|        | Level of equality standard   | Claire<br>Felton   | Т                       | Level 2 / level 2         | level 3   | n/a      | n/a     |
|        | New Equality framework (replaces<br>equality standard above in 2009/10)                | Claire<br>Felton   | В                       | n/a                       | e/u       | n/a      | n/a     |
|        | Sickness absence – average days per<br>employee  | Jo Pitman          | F                       | 8.75 days / 10.72<br>days | 8.75 days | 8.5 days | 8 days  |

|            | National Indicators reported at District Council Level   | APPENDIX 2   |
|------------|--|--|
| NI         | Title  | Reporting organisation   |
| 1          | % of people who believe people from different backgrounds get on well together in their                              | Audit Commission   |
| 2          | % of people who feel that they belong to their neighbourhood   | Audit Commission   |
|            | Civic participation in the local area  | Audit Commission   |
| 4          | % of people who feel they can influence decisions in their locality  | Audit Commission   |
| 5          | Overall / general satisfaction with local area   | Audit Commission   |
| 6          | Participation in regular volunteering  | Audit Commission   |
| õe. – ∞    | Adult participation in sport and active recreation   | The Active People Survey will be<br>undertaken by Sport England.<br>Reporting will be coordinated by<br>DCMS |
| 1 <b>₽</b> | Reducing avoidable contact: minimising the proportion of customer contact that is of low or no value to the customer | Local authority  |
| 15         | Serious violent crime  | Home Office  |
| 16         | Serious acquisitive crime  | Home Office  |
| 17         | Perceptions of anti-social behaviour   | Audit Commission   |
| 20         | Assault with injury crime rate   | Home Office  |
|            | Dealing with local concerns about anti-social behaviour and crime by the local council and police                    | Audit Commission   |
| 22         | Perceptions of parents taking responsibility for the behaviour of their children in the area                         | Audit Commission   |
| 23         | Perceptions that people in the area treat one another with respect and consideration                                 | Audit Commission   |
| 27         | Understanding of local concerns about anti-social behaviour and crime by the local council                           | Audit Commission   |
| 32         | Repeat incidents of domestic violence  | tbc  |

|     | National Indicators reported at District Council Level   | APPENDIX 2   |
|-----|--|--|
| NI  | Title  | Reporting organisation                             |
| 35  | Building resilience to violent extremism   | Local authority                                    |
| 36  | Protection against terrorist attack  | Home Office  |
| 37  | Awareness of civil protection arrangements in the local area                                     | Audit Commission                                   |
| 41  | Perceptions of drunk or rowdy behaviour as a problem   | Audit Commission                                   |
|     | Perceptions of drug use or drug dealing as a problem   | Audit Commission                                   |
| 47  | People killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents                                     | DfT  |
| 48  | Children killed or seriously injured in road traffic accidents                                   | DfT  |
|     | Hospital admissions caused by unintentional and deliberate injuries to children and young people | Information Centre for Health and Social Care      |
| 118 | Self-reported measure of people's overall health and wellbeing                                   | Audit Commission                                   |
| 120 | All-age all cause mortality rate   | National Centre for Health<br>Outcomes Development |
| 121 | Mortality rate from all circulatory diseases at ages under 75                                    | National Centre for Health<br>Outcomes Development |
| 122 | Mortality rate from all cancers at ages under 75   | Outcomes Development                               |
| 129 | End of life care – access to appropriate care enabling people to be able to choose to die at     | DH   |
| 137 | Healthy life expectancy at age 65  | Audit Commission                                   |
| 138 | Satisfaction of people over 65 with both home and neighbourhood                                  | Audit Commission                                   |
|     | The extent to which older people receive the support they need to live independently at          | Audit Commission                                   |
| 140 | Fair treatment by local services   | Audit Commission                                   |
| 154 | Net additional homes provided  | CLG  |

|             | National Indicators reported at District Council Level   | APPENDIX 2   |
|-------------|--|--|
| NI          | Title  | Reporting organisation                                 |
| 155         | Number of affordable homes delivered (gross)   | CLG (Housing Markets and Planning Analysis Division)   |
| 156         | Number of households living in temporary accommodation   | CLG (Housing and Communities<br>Analysis Division)     |
| 157         | Processing of planning applications  | CLG (Housing Markets and Planning Analysis Division)   |
| 158         | % non-decent council homes   | CLG (Housing and Communities Analysis Division)        |
| 159<br>ເຊ   | Supply of ready to develop housing sites   | CLG (Analytical Services<br>Directorate) based on data |
| 166         | Local authority tenants' satisfaction with landlord services   | Local authority  |
| 17 <b>0</b> | Previously developed land that has been vacant or derelict for more than 5 years   | CLG  |
| 171         | New business registration rate   | Office for National Statistics                         |
| 172         | Percentage of small businesses in an area showing employment growth  | Office for National Statistics                         |
| 173         | Flows on to incapacity benefits from employment  | DWP  |
|             | Value for money – total net value of ongoing cash-releasing value for money gains that have impacted since the start of the 2008-09 financial year | Local authority  |
| 180         | The number of changes of circumstances which affect customers' HB/CTB entitlement  | DWP  |
| 181         | Time taken to process Housing Benefit/Council Tax Benefit new claims and change events   | DWP  |
| 182         | Satisfaction of business with local authority regulatory services  | Local Authority  |
| 184         | Food establishments in the area which are broadly compliant with food hygiene law  | Food Standards Agency                                  |
| 185         | CO2 reduction from local authority operations  | DEFRA  |

|     | National Indicators reported at District Council Level  | APPENDIX 2             |
|-----|---|------------------------|
| NI  | Title   | Reporting organisation |
| 186 | Per capita reduction in CO2 emissions in the LA area  | DEFRA                  |
|     | Tackling fuel poverty - % of people receiving income based benefits living in homes with a low energy efficiency rating | Local Authority        |
| 188 | Planning to Adapt to Climate Change   | Local authority        |
| 189 | Flood and coastal erosion risk management   | Environment Agency     |
| 191 | Residual household waste per household  | DEFRA                  |
| 192 | Percentage of household waste sent for reuse, recycling and composting  | DEFRA                  |
| 193 | Percentage of municipal waste landfilled  | DEFRA                  |
|     | Air quality - % reduction in NOx and primary PM10 emissions through local authority's                                   |                        |
| 2 S | estate and operations   | DEFRA                  |
| 195 | Improved street and environmental cleanliness (levels of litter, detritus, graffiti and fly-                            | DEFRA                  |
| 196 | Improved street and environmental cleanliness – fly tipping   | Environment Agency     |

# Agenda Item 8

### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

### <u>18 MAY 2009</u>

### MARCH (PERIOD 12) PERFORMANCE REPORTING

| Responsible Portfolio Holder | Cllr Mike Webb, Portfolio Holder for<br>Customer Care & Service |
|------------------------------|---|
| Responsible Head of Service  | Hugh Bennett, Assistant Chief<br>Executive                      |
| Non Key Decision             |   |

### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To report to The Board on the Council's performance at the year end, 31 March 2009 (period 12).

### 2. **RECOMMENDATIONS**

- 2.1 That The Board notes that 50% of PIs are stable or improving.
- 2.2 That The Board notes that 78% of PI's that have a target met their target at the year end (compared to 75% in 2007/08).

That The Board notes the performance figures for March 2009 as set out in 2.3 Appendix 2.

That The Board notes the summary of the year end position as set out in sections 3.4 to 3.6 below.

### 3. BACKGROUND

3.1 The full list of performance indicators due to be reported monthly is set out in **Appendix 2** where:-

| On Target                 | I   | Performance is Improving |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------------|
| Less than 10% from target | S   | Performance is Stable    |
| More than 10% from target | W   | Performance is Worsening |
| No target set             | N/a | No target set            |

- 3.2 Comparisons of overall performance improvements this month to last month are shown on Appendix 1.
- 3.3 Although this is a quarter end the usual integrated performance and finance report is not produced at the year end. This is because the final accounts will be prepared and submitted to special council meeting in June. In addition a

full report of performance for the year will be included in the Annual Report which will be published in June. Therefore this report is intended to give an early understanding of the year end position on performance prior to the publication of the Annual Report.

- 3.4 Of the corporately reported PI's with a target for this year 78% met their target, compared to 75% at the end of last year. Six PI's missed their target by less than 10% and two PI's missed their target by more than 10%.
- 3.5 The following PI's performed significantly above target

| PI Ref  | Description  | Target            | Outturn           |  |
|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|--|
| FP001   | Percentage of invoices paid  | 99%               | 99.38%            |  |
|   | within 30 days of receipt  |                   |                   |  |
| The target for this indicator was increased from 98% to 99%. Throughout |  |                   |                   |  |
| the year this target has been on target and above and we are now aiming |  |                   |                   |  |
| in 09/10  | in 09/10 to make payments to suppliers within 10 days of receipt. This has |                   |                   |  |
| been a  | good achievement and the new I   | POP system has he | elped achieve it. |  |

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 195 | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness -litter                | 13%    | 6%      |
|        | nt improvements in street clea<br>ent over the past few years have co |        |         |

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 195 | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness -detritus              | 20%    | 15%     |
|        | nt improvements in street clea<br>ent over the past few years have co |        |         |

| PI Ref       | Description  | Target            | Outturn        |
|--------------|--|-------------------|----------------|
| LPI<br>Depot | %age of reported abandoned vehicles investigated within 24 hours | 95%               | 98.80%         |
|              | a contractor to investigate aband<br>formance                    | oned vehicles has | contributed to |

| PI Ref       | Description   | Target            | Outturn        |
|--------------|---|-------------------|----------------|
| LPI<br>Depot | %age of abandoned vehicles<br>removed within 24 hours of legal<br>entitlement | 95%               | 100%           |
|              | a contractor to investigate aband<br>formance                                 | oned vehicles has | contributed to |

| PI Ref       | Description                               | Target              | Outturn         |
|--------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|
| LPI<br>Depot | % animal/debris cleared within timescales | 95%                 | 100%            |
| Street c     | leansing operatives taking more           | pro-active approach | with removal of |

| animal | debris |
|--------|--------|
| armina | aconio |

| PI Ref  | Description                | Target | Outturn |  |  |  |
|---|----------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| LPI   | % of flytips dealt with in | 95%    | 100%    |  |  |  |
| Depot   | response time              |        |         |  |  |  |
|   |                            |        |         |  |  |  |
| Street cleansing operatives taking more pro-active approach with removal of fly |                            |        |         |  |  |  |
| tipping   |                            |        |         |  |  |  |

| PI Ref                         | Description                                  | Target | Outturn |  |  |
|--------------------------------|--|--------|---------|--|--|
|                                | Number of missed household waste collections | 1,392  | 1,136   |  |  |
| Target reviewed and increased. |  |        |         |  |  |

| PI Ref                             | Description                    | Target | Outturn |  |  |  |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
| LPI                                | Number of missed recycle waste | 600    | 281     |  |  |  |
| Depot                              | collections                    |        |         |  |  |  |
| Improved performance of operatives |                                |        |         |  |  |  |

| PI Ref   | Description                       | Target             | Outturn        |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| LPI SC   | Sports development usages         | 20,505             | 21,219         |  |  |  |
| 5 Increased capacity within the team has resulted in improved quantity and |                                   |                    |                |  |  |  |
| Increase   | ed capacity within the team has r | esuited in improve | d quantity and |  |  |  |

quality of delivery which has positively impacted upon usage.

| PI Ref   | Description   | Target     | Outturn         |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| NI 181   | Time taken to process HB /  | 16         | 15.03           |  |  |  |  |
|  | CTB new claims and change   |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | events  |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | a new indicator with effect from a  | •          | •               |  |  |  |  |
|  | year comparisons or benchman  | 0          |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | he target. In early January 2009  |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | so the estimated outturn was in   |            | ,               |  |  |  |  |
|  | tion of VRA (a simplified claim p   |            | -               |  |  |  |  |
| the hard work and dedication of the team, throughout January, February |   |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | and March there was a huge increase in performance and the average time     |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | reduced, allowing us to exceed the target. For 2009, there will be stricter |            |                 |  |  |  |  |
|  | measures in place to check a  |            | erformance on a |  |  |  |  |
| weekly k   | pasis which may see a further im  | provement. |                 |  |  |  |  |

3.6 The following PI's did not meet their target :

# PI's missing their target by less than 10%:

| PI Ref   | Description                      | Target                | Outturn           |  |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--|
| NI 157   | Time taken to determine          | LPI 75%               | 67.8%             |  |
|  | 'Major' planning applications    | (National 60%)        |                   |  |
| Two thir   | ds of applications over time wer | e a result of referra | al to Government  |  |
| Office and or Statutory processes. Other applications were called to     |                                  |                       |                   |  |
| Committee by Members with just one application going over due to Officer |                                  |                       |                   |  |
| error. In two green belt locations (Ash Lane, Hopwood and Moundsley      |                                  |                       |                   |  |
| Hall, Wa   | alkers Heath) Members gave ac    | ditional weight to    | the 'very special |  |

circumstances' relevant to the balancing exercise. This resulted in the need to also refer these applications to GOWM resulting in delays in the speed at which these applications were processed.

Performance in this category in 07/08 was exceptional showing that the processes are in place to achieve the LPI, However the nature of applications submitted in the year has a significant influence.

| PI Ref | Description                   | Target         | Outturn |
|--------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------|
| NI 157 | Time taken to determine       | LPI 80%        | 76.5%   |
|        | 'Minor' planning applications | (National 65%) |         |

Numerous issues in 08/09 including; loss of five officers in six months, new computer system and associated training, falling number of applications, new staff to train, extended periods of sick leave. Close monitoring of reasons for applications going over time has reduced the occurrence of admin errors and minor mistakes.

With a fully staffed team performance in 09/10 should not be affected by these matters.

| PI Ref | Descri | ption     |       |            | Target  |         | Outturn |
|--------|--------|-----------|-------|------------|---------|---------|---------|
| NI 157 | Time   | taken     | to    | determine  | LPI     | 90%     | 89.5%   |
|        | 'Other | ' plannin | ig ap | plications | (Nation | al 80%) |         |
| 0.0    |        |           |       |            |         |         |         |

Comments as above

| PI Ref | Description   | Target | Outturn |
|--------|---|--------|---------|
| NI 192 | Percentage of household waste<br>re-used, recycled and<br>composted | 45%    | 43.25%  |

The reduction in garden waste due to the introduction of the chargeable service has resulted in a slightly lower than predicted outturn. Current projections for 2009/10 are 39% although this may increase as applications for the service are still being received. From April 2010 with comingled recycling there should be an increase in the overall outturn.

| PI Ref   | Description                 | Target | Outturn |  |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
|  | % of PACT meetings attended | 85%    | 80%     |  |  |  |
|  | by SMT members              |        |         |  |  |  |
| There were some issues about lack of notification of meetings earlier in the year, |                             |        |         |  |  |  |
| which resulted in some meetings being missed, however communication has            |                             |        |         |  |  |  |
| improved since then. Occasionally PACT meetings clash with other key meetings,     |                             |        |         |  |  |  |

| PI Ref | Description           | Target  | Outturn |
|--------|-----------------------|---------|---------|
|        | Sporto contros usores | 672 420 | 627 404 |

such as Cabinet, and cannot be attended. Target expected to be met next year.

Sports centres usages672,420627,404As detailed in the appendix, the final figure shown does not include attendances at<br/>Haybridge in March, so the actual shortfall is of the order of 25,000, not 40,000<br/>plus. Some delays in refurbishments at the Dolphin centre during the year<br/>affected the usage figures as did the closure of the Haybridge site as block<br/>booking migrated to new venues once announced in Jan. Following the opening<br/>of the new fitness suite and the enhanced management arrangement that have<br/>been implemented next years target is expected to be met

### PI's missing their target by more than 10%:

| PI Ref   | Description   | Target  | Outturn  |
|--|---|---|--|
|  | The average number of<br>working days lost due to<br>sickness.  | 8.75 days   | 10.66 days   |
| an incre   | ease in the number of Long-ter<br>absence is not possible to predic   | m sickness abser  | ice cases. This  |
| months   | agingly the overall levels of si<br>of this year have shown a decre<br>taken to address the high level o  | ase in comparison   | to last year.  |
| <ul> <li>An u</li> <li>Sickage</li> <li>agree</li> <li>usin</li> </ul> | update report was taken to PMB;<br>kness Performance clinics were<br>eement to meet bi-monthly; to s<br>ing Connect, Core Brief, Manag<br>ning for managers on Return | held, outcomes o<br>et up a communic<br>gers and Staff Fo | of which include:<br>ations campaign<br>orums; establish |

Target for 2009/10 to remain at 8.75 days

| PI Ref  | Description        | Target | Outturn |  |  |  |
|---|--------------------|--------|---------|--|--|--|
|   | Number of Domestic | 359    | 438     |  |  |  |
|   | Burglaries         |        |         |  |  |  |
| This is first time in 3 years that Domestic Burglary target has not been    |                    |        |         |  |  |  |
| achieved. Cross-border offenders have been identified as key issue.         |                    |        |         |  |  |  |
| Increased joint working with West Mids Police and priority for local police |                    |        |         |  |  |  |
| and Community Safety Tasking. Resident awareness programme being            |                    |        |         |  |  |  |
| rolled ou   | ut                 |        |         |  |  |  |

# 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no financial implications

# 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

5.1 There are no legal implications.

# 6. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 Performance reporting & management links to the Improvement objective

# 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

- 7.1 The main risks associated with the details included in this report are:
  - Data quality problems
  - Poor performance
- 7.2 These risks are being managed as follows:
  - Implementation of the Data Quality Strategy
  - Robust follow up on performance issues, including performance clinics

### 8 <u>CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS</u>

8.1 Performance Improvement is a Council Objective

### 9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no implications for the Council's Equalities and Diversity Policies.

### 10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 • There are no VFM implications

### 11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues None

Personnel Issues None

Governance/Performance Management – Production of the performance report supports the aim of improving performance & performance management

Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act 1988 None

Policy None

**Environmental None** 

# 12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

| Portfolio Holder                             | Yes(At          |
|--|-----------------|
|  | Leader's Group) |
| Chief Executive                              | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Executive Director (Partnerships & Projects) | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Executive Director (Services)                | Yes (at CMT)    |
| Assistant Chief Executive                    | Yes             |
| Head of Service                              | Yes             |
| Head of Financial Services                   | Yes (at CMT)    |

| Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic Services | Yes (at CMT) |
|---|--------------|
| Head of Organisational Development & HR         | Yes (at CMT) |
| Corporate Procurement Team                      | Yes (at CMT) |

### 13. WARDS AFFECTED

All Wards.

### 14. <u>APPENDICES</u>

| Appendix 1 | Performance Summary for March 2009                 |
|------------|--|
| Appendix 2 | Detail Performance report for March 2009           |
| Appendix 3 | Detailed figures to support the performance report |
| Appendix 4 | Detailed sickness absence figures                  |

### 15. BACKGROUND PAPERS

None

# Contact officer

Name:John Outhwaite, Senior Policy & Performance Officeremail:j.outhwaite@bromsgrove.gov.ukTel:(01527) 881602

This page is intentionally left blank

### **APPENDIX** 1

| SUMMARY - Period 9 (December) 2008/09 |     |      |                                 |     |                   |                                 |     |      |  |
|---------------------------------------|-----|------|---------------------------------|-----|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----|------|--|
| Monthly (December) performance        |     |      |                                 |     | Estimated Outturn |                                 |     |      |  |
|                                       | No. | %    |                                 | No. | %                 |                                 | No. | %    |  |
| Improving or stable.                  | 21  | 62%  | On target                       | 22  | 79%               | On target                       | 26  | 87%  |  |
| Declining                             | 13  | 38%  | Missing target by less than 10% | 4   | 14%               | Missing target by less than 10% | 0   | 0%   |  |
| No data                               | 0   | 0%   | Missing target by more than 10% | 2   | 7%                | Missing target by more than 10% | 4   | 13%  |  |
|                                       |     |      | No data                         | 0   | 0%                | No data                         | 0   | 0%   |  |
| Total Number of                       |     |      |                                 |     |                   |                                 |     |      |  |
| Indicators                            | 34  | 100% | Total Number of Indicators      | 28  | 100%              | total                           | 30  | 100% |  |
| 1                                     |     |      |                                 |     |                   |                                 |     |      |  |

| SUMMARY - Period 10 (January) 2008/09        |          |           |  |              |                   |  |                   |                        |
|--|----------|-----------|--|--------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|------------------------|
| Monthly (January) performance                |          |           |  |              | Estimated Outturn |  |                   |                        |
|  | No.      | %         |  | No.          | %                 |  | No.               | %                      |
| Improving or stable.<br>Declining<br>No data | 16<br>11 | 41%<br>0% | On target<br>Missing target by less than 10%<br>Missing target by more than 10%<br>No data | 20<br>2<br>3 | 8%<br>12%         | On target<br>Missing target by less than 10%<br>Missing target by more than 10%<br>No data | 19<br>2<br>3<br>1 | 76%<br>8%<br>12%<br>4% |
| Total Number of<br>Indicators                | 27       | 100%      | Total Number of Indicators   | 25           | 100%              | total  | 25                | 100%                   |

Page 34

This page is intentionally left blank

#### Performance Indicators Period 12 (March) 2008/09

1

|     |             |          |        | 2007/08 |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        | 2008/09      |         |          |
|-----|-------------|----------|--------|---------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|--------|--------------|---------|----------|
| Ref | Description | Report - | Cum or | Actuals | Dec Target | Dec Actual | Target & | Jan Target | Jan Actual | Target & | Feb Target | Feb Actual | Target & | Mar Target | Mar Actual | Target & | Target | Est. Outturn | Est.    | Comments |
|     | -           | ed?      | Snap?  |         | -          |            | trend    | -      |              | Outturn |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | Target  |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | &Trend  |          |

#### Street Scene & Community

| NI 191    | Residual Household waste per<br>household                                     | м  | с | n/a    | 450.55 | 444.23 | w  | 503.48 | 488.82 | T  | 546.94 | 534.46 | w  | 593.00 | 586.26 | w | 593.00 | 586.26 |   | de waste and reused items to be deducted<br>n total waste - this should improve the score<br>htly   |
|-----------|---|----|---|--------|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|----|--------|--------|---|--------|--------|---|---|
| NI 192    | Percentage of household waste re-<br>used, recycled and composted             | м  | с | n/a    | 45.89  | 46.37  | w  | 44.63  | 45.09  | I  | 41.92  | 43.97  | w  | 45.00  | 43.25  | I | 45.00  | 43.25  |   | de waste and reused items to be deducted<br>n total waste - this should improve the score<br>htly   |
| NI 195    | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - graffiti                     | M* | s |        | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | 2.00   | I | 5.00   | 2.00   |   | nber of monitored locations which fall below<br>unacceptable level is 2% and under target   |
| NI 195    | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness -litter                        | M* | s |        | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | 6.00   | I | 13.00  | 6.00   |   | nber of monitored locations which fall below<br>unacceptable level is 6% and under target   |
| NI 195    | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - detritus                     | M* | s |        | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | 15.00  | Т | 20.00  | 15.00  |   | nber of monitored locations which fall below unacceptable level is 15% and under target   |
| NI 195    | Improved street & environmental<br>cleanliness - fly posting                  | M* | с |        | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | 0.00   | I | 1.00   | 0.00   |   | nber of monitored locations which fall below unacceptable level is 0% and under target  |
|           | improved street and environmental<br>eleanliness - fly tipping                | M* | с |        | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | na     | na | na     | 2      | S | 2      | 2      | Targ                                    | get met   |
| 2         | %age of reported abandoned<br>vehicles investigated within 24<br>heurs        | м  | с | 100.00 | 95.00  | 98.25  | S  | 95.00  | 98.46  | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 98.80  | S | 95.00  | 98.80  |   | chicles reported and 9 investigated within escale   |
| LPI depot | bage of abandoned vehicles<br>removed within 24 hours of legal<br>entitlement | м  | с | 98.78  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S | 95.00  | 100.00 |   | chicles required removing and 8 were over within timescale  |
| LPI Depot | % animal/debris cleared within<br>timescales                                  | М  | с | 100.00 | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S | 95.00  | 100.00 |   | animals reported and removed within escale  |
| LPI Depot | % of flytips dealt with in response<br>time                                   | М  | с | 99.46  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S  | 95.00  | 100.00 | S | 95.00  | 100.00 |   | ncidents of fly tipping and all removed within escale   |
| LPI Depot | Number of missed household waste<br>collections                               | м  | с | 1102   | 1,044  | 821    | I  | 1,160  | 894    | w  | 1,276  | 965    | I. | 1,392  | 1,136  | w | 1,392  | 1,136  |   | missed refuse collections of which 86 were<br>en waste and 85 grey waste 4 X 38,000 =<br>1%   |
| LPI Depot | Number of missed recycle waste<br>collections                                 | м  | с | 352    | 450    | 184    | Т  | 500    | 216    | W  | 550    | 260    | W  | 600    | 281    | Т | 600    | 281    |   | nissed recycling collections = 4 X 36,000<br>ections = 0.01%  |
| NWBCU 1   | The number of domestic burglaries   | м  | с | 355    | 270    | 313    | I  | 301    | 355    | w  | 329    | 383    | I  | 359    | 438    | w | 359    | 438    | Burg<br>offen<br>Incre<br>and p<br>Safe | s is first time in 3 years that Domestic<br>glary target not achieved. Cross-border<br>nders have been identified as key issue.<br>eased joint working with West Mids Police<br>priority for local police and Community<br>ety Tasking. Resident awareness programme<br>ig rolled out |
| NWBCU 2   | The number of violent crimes  | М  | с | 1093   | 793    | 764    | w  | 882    | 843    | T  | 963    | 903    | I  | 1052   | 973    | I | 1052   | 973    | Crim<br>robb                            | ent Crime targets met for 3rd year in a row.<br>nes covered include assaults, harassment,<br>pery, sexual crime and possession of<br>upons  |

|          |                                      |                 |                 | 2007/08 |            |            |                   |            |            |                   |            |            |                   |            |            | 1 1               |         | 2008/09      |                  |   |
|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|------------|-------------------|---------|--------------|------------------|---|
| Ref      | Description                          | Report -<br>ed? | Cum or<br>Snap? | Actuals | Dec Target | Dec Actual | Target &<br>trend | Jan Target | Jan Actual | Target &<br>trend | Feb Target | Feb Actual | Target &<br>trend | Mar Target | Mar Actual | Target &<br>trend | Target  | Est. Outturn | Est.<br>Outturn  | Comments  |
|          |                                      | our             | onapi           |         |            |            | trend             |            |            | tienta            |            |            | trenta            |            |            | trend             |         |              | Target<br>&Trend |   |
| NWBCU 3  | The number of robberies              | м               | С               | 67      | 42         | 39         | w                 | 47         | 49         | w                 | 51         | 53         | I                 | 56         | 61         | w                 | 56      | 61           |                  | Robberies, although at a relatively low level<br>continue to be a concern. Although target not<br>met for 08/09 actual figures are still 11 fewer<br>than 07/08. Robberies are defined as an offence<br>when an offender uses force or puts a person in<br>fear as well as robbery of a business property.<br>Although increased towards the end of 08/09 the<br>overall trend is to fall back again. As the average<br>is approx 5 per month a modest increase of one<br>or two in a month has a significant impact on<br>targets. |
| NWBCU 4  | The number of vehicle crimes         | м               | с               | 710     | 572        | 540        | I.                | 636        | 599        | T                 | 694        | 660        | w                 | 759        | 744        | w                 | 759     | 744          |                  | Second year in row that Vehicle Crime target<br>met. Continued public awareness programmes<br>and high visibility patrolling clearly been effective<br>These have been especially targeted to Public<br>Places such as Car Parks at Beauty Spots  |
| LPI SC 1 | Number of attendances at arts events | м               | с               | 25,056  | 17,290     | 20,257     | w                 | 17,440     | 20,382     | w                 | 17,575     | 20,522     | I                 | 17,698     | 20,642     | w                 | 17,698  | 20,642       |                  | The attendance at the arts events falls in line<br>with the target figure of 120 for the month of<br>March. The over all position is very positive<br>across the year with a strong attendance and<br>satisfaction at the programme of arts events that<br>the council managed.   |
| - Go     | Prtrix usage                         | A               | s               |         | n/a        | n/a        | n/a               |            |            |                   | n/a        | n/a        | n/a               | 41,218     | 47,864     | I                 | 41,218  | 47,864       |                  | The Artrix performance information is provided<br>by the Artrix. It relates to the total attendances at<br>film screenings, live performances including<br>Bromsgrove Arts Alive! shows and creative<br>workshop sessions. Target exceeded  |
| LPI SC 4 | Sports Centres Usage                 | м               | с               | 592,133 | 485,784    | 469,274    | w                 | 546,542    | 526,393    | I                 | 616,985    | 598,195    | I                 | 672,420    | 627,404    | I                 | 672,420 | 627,404      |                  | Figures shown for March are those for the<br>Dolphin centre only. Figures for Haybridge<br>usage in March were not obtained prior to its<br>closure towards the end of the month and it is<br>not considered economically viable to attempt to<br>retrieve this information.  |
| LPI SC 5 | Sports development usages            | м               | с               | 18,213  | 14,958     | 15,315     | w                 | 16,537     | 16,857     | I                 | 18,177     | 18,689     | I                 | 20,505     | 21,219     | I                 | 20,505  | 21,219       |                  | Target achieved - delivery of Sport Unlimited<br>projects, tag rugby festival, basketball<br>competitions and adult mobility sessions have all<br>contributed   |

#### Planning & Environment

|  | The percentage of major planning<br>applications determined within 13<br>weeks | М | С | 95.35 | 75.00 | 78.00 | I | 75.00 | 72.00 | w | 75.00 | 69.00 | S | 75.00 | 68.80 | I | 75.00 | 68.80 |  | Longbridge remodelling works went over time.<br>This application was submitted prior to the<br>adoption of the Longbridge Area Action Plan a<br>document which itself required the approval of<br>the Secretary of State. This was out of the<br>control of BDC. |
|--|--|---|---|-------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|--|--|
|--|--|---|---|-------|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|--|--|

2

|        |   |                 |                 | 2007/08<br>Actuals |            |            |                |            |            |                |            |            |                |            |            |                   |        | 2008/09      |                           |   |
|--------|---|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|----------------|------------|------------|-------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------------|---|
| Het    | Description   | Report -<br>ed? | Cum or<br>Snap? | Actuals            | Dec Target | Dec Actual | Target & trend | Jan Target | Jan Actual | Target & trend | Feb Target | Feb Actual | Target & trend | Mar Target | Mar Actual | Target &<br>trend | Target | Est. Outturn | Est.<br>Outturn<br>Target | Comments  |
| NI 157 | The percentage of minor planning<br>applications determined within 8<br>weeks | м               | с               | 92.42              | 80.00      | 73.00      | w              | 80.00      | 75.00      | I              | 80.00      | 75.00      | w              | 80.00      | 76.50      | I                 | 80.00  | 76.50        | &Trend                    | The number of application submitted in this<br>category represent an increase in relation to Feb<br>(6) Jan (9). Just two applications went over time;<br>Dodford children's home was called to<br>Committee by the chairman as was 17 Beacon<br>Hill, Rubery   |
| NI 157 | The percentage of other planning<br>applications determined within 8<br>weeks | м               | с               | 93.11              | 90.00      | 89.00      | I              | 90.00      | 89.00      | I              | 90.00      | 88.00      | I              | 90.00      | 89.50      | w                 | 90.00  | 89.50        |                           | The number of applications submitted in this<br>category again represent an increase in relation<br>to Feb (24) and reflect the number submitted in<br>Jan (55). Of the 4 applications that went over ;<br>Stoney Lane Farm was as a result of being<br>called to Planning Committee, Dordale Farm was<br>a result of UNIFORM problems with Parish<br>Council receiving plans. 2 Drakes cross was a   |
| NI 155 | Number of affordable homes<br>delivered                                       | Q               | с               | 46.00              | 60         | 135        | I              |            |            |                |            |            |                | 80         | 145        | w                 | 80     | 145          |                           | Target exceeded due to completion of schemes<br>originally planned for completion last year, in<br>addition a scheme originally granted permission<br>as market housing prior to the moratorium was<br>converted to affordable housing due to economic<br>climate, so these are included in the final figures<br>but were not in the original target figures for this<br>year   |
| l c    | D<br>Aumber of households occupying<br>proporary accommodation                | ٩               | S               | 16.0               | 34         | 10         | S              |            |            |                |            |            |                | 34         | 13         | w                 | 34     | 13           |                           | We continue to meet the Government target of<br>34 clients in TA by 2010. This is due to the<br>excellent prevention work carried out which has<br>seen the number of preventions rise from 76 in<br>2006/7 to 172 in 2008/9. Due to the economic<br>situation and the reopening of the former<br>Gateway refuge as self contained TA for clients<br>suffering from domestic abuse, we are mindful<br>that there may be a slight increase in 2009/10.<br>However as we currently have a very low base<br>number (13) we are confident that we will<br>continue to meet this target  |
| LPI    | Average time (weeks) from referral to<br>completion for category 1 DFGs       | Q               | С               |                    | n/a        | 34         | I              |            |            |                |            |            |                | n/a        | 35.20      | w                 | n/a    | 35.20        |                           | Quarter 4's performance is down from last<br>quarters figures as a result of difficulties<br>experienced from the implementation of PODs<br>(modular buildings). Bromsgrove District Council<br>was provided with misleading information as to<br>the standards of specification (e.g. told that they<br>met building regulations however they did not).<br>Traditional builds were therefore re-instated to<br>replace these, however this meant that all of<br>these cases then had to go through the planning<br>process. The extra work required to deal with<br>these cases lead to an increase in processing<br>times for all categories. |
| LPI    | Average time (weeks) from referral to<br>completion for category 2 DFGs       | Q               | с               |                    | n/a        | 39         | I              |            |            |                |            |            |                | n/a        | 41.76      | w                 | n/a    | 41.76        |                           | see above   |
| LPI    | Average time (weeks) from referral to<br>completion for category 3 DFGs       | Q               | с               |                    | n/a        | 51         | W              |            |            |                |            |            |                | n/a        | 57.69      | W                 | n/a    | 57.69        |                           | see above   |
| LPI    | Percentage of DFG budget allocated<br>to approved schemes                     | Q               | s               |                    | n/a        | 96.90      | n/a            |            |            |                |            |            |                | n/a        | 100.00     |                   | n/a    | 100.00       |                           | activity measure  |
| LPI    | Percentage of DFG budget spent  | Q               | s               |                    | n/a        | 57.40      | n/a            |            |            |                |            |            |                | n/a        | 97.00      |                   | n/a    | 97.00        |                           | activity measure  |

|     |             |          |        | 2007/08 |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        | 2008/09      |         |          |
|-----|-------------|----------|--------|---------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|--------|--------------|---------|----------|
| Ref | Description | Report - | Cum or | Actuals | Dec Target | Dec Actual | Target & | Jan Target | Jan Actual | Target & | Feb Target | Feb Actual | Target & | Mar Target | Mar Actual | Target & | Target | Est. Outturn | Est.    | Comments |
|     |             | ed?      | Snap?  |         |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |        |              | Outturn |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | Target  |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | &Trend  |          |

E-Government & Customer Services

| CSC       | Monthly Call Volumes Customer<br>Contact Centre                   | М | S | n/a   | n/a   | 5,544 | n/a | n/a   | 8,638 | n/a | n/a   | 8,187 | n/a | n/a   | 11,014 | n/a | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | CSC Call volume increased by 34% compared to<br>last month demonstrating an upward trend<br>against the year to date average. Significantly<br>this is the highest amount of calls the CSC has<br>handled this year, exceeding the last peak in<br>April 2008 by 6% and is also the highest call rate<br>experienced since March 2007. Despite this the<br>CSC has managed to keep performance within<br>all performance indicators. Demand driven by<br>Council Tax main billing and Green Waste<br>collection enquiries |
|-----------|---|---|---|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|--------|-----|-------|-------|-----|---|
| CSC       | Monthly Call Volume Council<br>Switchboard                        | М | s | n/a   | n/a   | 3,753 | n/a | n/a   | 5,021 | n/a | n/a   | 5,666 | n/a | n/a   | 5,732  | n/a | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | Switchboard calls increased by 13% compared<br>to last month demonstrating an upward trend<br>against the year to date average  |
| CSCLPI3.1 | Resolution at First Point of Contact<br>all services (percentage) | м | с | 94.30 | 85.00 | 99.00 | S   | 85.00 | 98.00 | W   | 85.00 | 99.00 | Т   | 85.00 | 99.00  | S   | 90.00 | 99.00 |     | Overall performance has been sustained and is<br>in excess of set target  |
| CSCLPI3.2 | % of Calls Answered   | м | с | 84.00 | 85.00 | 94.00 | Т   | 85.00 | 92.00 | w   | 85.00 | 91.00 | w   | 85.00 | 87.00  | w   | 85.00 | 87.00 |     | Performance has been sustained above target<br>this month despite large increase in call volumes<br>driving the figure down   |
| CSCLPI3.3 | Verage Speed of Answer<br>Beconds)                                | м | с | 36    | 30.00 | 9.00  | I   | 30.00 | 16.00 | w   | 30.00 | 16.00 | S   | 30.00 | 30.00  | w   | 30.00 | 30.00 |     | Performance has been sustained at target<br>despite the very high call volume handled by the<br>CSC as a result of Council Tax billing  |

#### CO CO Mancial Services

| NI181  | Time taken to process HOB/CT<br>benefit new claims or change<br>events                      | М | с | n/a   | 16.00 | 19.13 | w   | 16.00 | 18.84 | I   | 16.00 | 15.41 | I   | 16.00 | 15.03 | w | 16.00 | 15.03 | March saw a further improvement to new claim<br>processing times yet there was a slip in the<br>processing times for change in circumstances.<br>This is due to Februarys figures being so good<br>as a result of year end. However, there is still an<br>improvement compared to January's figures and<br>the team should be commended for their efforts.<br>in ensuring the yearly target was met. |
|--------|---|---|---|-------|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|-----|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|--|
| NI 179 | VFM - total net value of on-going<br>cash releasing VFM gains since<br>the start of 2008-09 | Q | С |       | £452k | £452k | S   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | n/a   | n/a   | n/a |       |       |   | £602k | £615k | Actual year end VFM figures can't be calculated<br>until further work is done on year end accounts,<br>expected mid May  |
|        | Percentage of invoices paid within<br>10 days of receipt                                    | М | с | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | 80.00 | 81.91 | I | 80.00 | 81.91 | Since March 2009 the Council has adopted a<br>new approach to payment of invoices. To<br>support improvements to businesses cash flow it<br>is our aim to process all invoices within 10 days.<br>This will continue into 2009/10  |
| FP001  | Percentage of invoices paid within<br>30 days of receipt                                    | М | С | 97.83 | 98.00 | 99.59 | W   | 98.00 | 99.49 | Т   | 99.00 | 99.40 | W   | 99.00 | 99.38 | W | 98.00 | 99.38 | On Target ( in addition see above target)  |

4

Chief Executive's Department

|     |             |          |        | 2007/08 |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        | 2008/09      |         |          |
|-----|-------------|----------|--------|---------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|------------|------------|----------|--------|--------------|---------|----------|
| Ref | Description | Report - | Cum or | Actuals | Dec Target | Dec Actual | Target & | Jan Target | Jan Actual | Target & | Feb Target | Feb Actual | Target & | Mar Target | Mar Actual | Target & | Target | Est. Outturn | Est.    | Comments |
|     |             | ed?      | Snap?  |         |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |            |            | trend    |        |              | Outturn |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | Target  |          |
|     |             |          |        |         |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |            |            |          |        |              | &Trend  |          |

|                       | Number of complaints received<br>(Council wide) Monthly. Source<br>new complaints system. | м | с | n/a | n/a | 179 | I | n/a | 217 | w | n/a | 240 |     | n/a   | 270   | w | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | Majority of complaints again are about green<br>waste charges and missed bins due to<br>customers not knowing about the new charge<br>and increases in sewage collection charges. |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-------|-------|---|-------|-------|-----|---|
| LPI<br>CCPP02<br>(LB) | % of PACT meetings attended by<br>SMT members   | Q | с |     | 85  | 83  | W |     |     |   | n/a | n/a | n/a | 85.00 | 80.00 | w | 85.00 | 80.00 |     | Seven out of nine meetings attended, one<br>missed due to clash with Cabinet meeting, one<br>due to late notification of meeting  |
| LPI<br>CCPP03<br>(SS) | Number of compliments received  | м | с | n/a | n/a | 43  | W | n/a | 50  | Ι | n/a | 66  | Ι   | n/a   | 70    | W | n/a   | n/a   | n/a | Four compliments received in March  |

#### Legal, Equalities & Democratic services

| LD LPI 1 | The level of the Equality Standard<br>for Local Government to which the<br>Authority conforms |  | С | 2 | 2 | 2 | S | 2 | 2 |  | 2 | 2 | S | 2 | 2 | S | 2<br>moving<br>to 3 | 2 | The target for end of year 2008/09 is Level 2<br>under the Local Government Equality Standard.<br>The target for 2009/10 is Level 2 under the Local<br>Government Equality Framework - this is<br>because we hope to achieve Level 3 of the<br>Standard in April 2009 which automatically<br>migrates to Level 2 of the Framework. After that,<br>there is only Level 3 (Levels 4 & 5 disappear in<br>the revised structure that the Framework<br>established). We haven't discussed or planned<br>anything yet when we might aim to reach the new<br>Level 3 but suggest 2010/11 as there will be a<br>period of transition when we have to manage and<br>moderate the evidence from the Standard to the<br>new structure of the Framework which is much<br>broader and open to interpretation. |
|----------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|--|
|----------|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------------------|---|--|

Human Resources and Organisational Development

| LPI<br>(formerly<br>BV12) The average number of working<br>days lost due to sickness. | м | с | 9.35 | 6.39 | 8.31 | ı | 7.18 | 9.25 | 1 | 7.97 | 9.87 | I | 8.75 | 10.72 | w | 8.75 | 10.66 | Sickness absence rose slightly in March<br>following a fall in previous months. As reported<br>previously a number of actions are being put in<br>place to address sickness absence levels.<br>Starting this month, a separate, detailed monthly<br>report on sickness absence will be brought to<br>CMT, Leaders' Group and PMB |
|---|---|---|------|------|------|---|------|------|---|------|------|---|------|-------|---|------|-------|--|
|---|---|---|------|------|------|---|------|------|---|------|------|---|------|-------|---|------|-------|--|

Page 40

This page is intentionally left blank

|     |             |      |        |      |      |      |      | 2008 | 09 Monthly | Performance | e figures |      |      |      |
|-----|-------------|------|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|-------------|-----------|------|------|------|
| Ref | Description | Freq | C or S | Apr. | May. | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sep.       | Oct.        | Nov.      | Dec. | Jan. | Mar. |

Street Scene & Community

| - |            |  |     |          |        |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        |          |          |          |        |
|---|------------|--|-----|----------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
|   |            |  | М   | С        | Target | 50.80 | 105.00 | 52.50  | 50.10  | 46.79  | 49.57  | 49.78  | 50.18  | 50.67    | 51.91    | 44.31    | 47.10  |
|   | NI 191     | Residual Household waste per                                   |     |          | Actual | 50.80 | 52.75  | 48.87  | 55.71  | 49.03  | 49.87  | 54.89  | 45.08  | 54.97    | 44.58    | 45.64    | 51.80  |
|   | 111131     | household  |     | numerate | or     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2127470  | 1725558  | 1766580  | ###### |
|   |            |  |     | denomina | ltor   |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 38706    | 38706    | 38706    | 38706  |
|   |            |  | м   | С        | Target | 45.31 | 48.83  | 49.45  | 44.02  | 47.14  | 45.08  | 42.34  | 41.90  | 26.50    | 30.60    | 29.14    | 29.95  |
|   | NI 192     | Percentage of household waste re-                              |     | Ű        | Actual | 46.94 | 52.74  | 49.92  | 47.03  | 47.45  | 48.46  | 45.57  | 40.67  | 25.20    | 31.12    | 25.01    | 34.70  |
|   |            | used, recycled and composted                                   |     | numerate | or     |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 716.729  | 779.489  | 589.115  | ###### |
| Ū |            |  |     | denomina | ltor   |       |        |        |        |        |        |        |        | 2844.199 | 2505.047 | 2355.695 | ###### |
|   | NI 195     | Improved street & environmental                                | M*  | С        | Target | na    | na     | na     |        | na     | na     | na     |        |          |          |          |        |
|   |            | cleanliness - graffiti   |     | 0        | Actual | na    | na     | na     | 2.00   | na     | na     | na     | 2.00   |          |          |          | 2.00   |
|   | NI 195     | Improved street & environmental                                | M*  | С        | Target | na    | na     | na     |        | na     | na     | na     |        |          |          |          |        |
|   | NI 195     | cleanliness -litter  | IVI | C        | Actual | na    | na     | na     | 6.00   | na     | na     | na     | 6.00   |          |          |          | 6.00   |
|   | NI 195     | Improved street & environmental                                | M*  | С        | Target | na    | na     | na     |        | na     | na     | na     |        |          |          |          |        |
|   | 195        | cleanliness - detritus   | IVI | C        | Actual | na    | na     | na     | 16.00  | na     | na     | na     | 15.00  |          |          |          | 17.00  |
|   | NI 195     | Improved street & environmental                                | M*  | С        | Target | na    | na     | na     |        | na     | na     | na     |        |          |          |          |        |
|   | 111135     | cleanliness - fly posting                                      | IVI | U        | Actual | na    | na     | na     | 0.00   | na     | na     | na     | 0.00   |          |          |          | 0.00   |
|   |            | Improved street and environmental                              |     |          | Target | na    | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     |          |          |          |        |
|   | NI 196     | cleanliness - fly tipping                                      | М   | С        | Actual | na    | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     |          |          |          |        |
|   | Didenst    | %age of reported abandoned vehicles                            | NA  | С        | Target | 95.00 | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00    | 95.00    | 95.00    | 95.00  |
|   | LPI depot  | investigated within 24 hours                                   | М   | U        | Actual | 87.50 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00   | 100.00 |
|   | I PI denot | %age of abandoned vehicles<br>removed within 24 hours of legal | М   | C        | Target | 95.00 | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00    | 95.00    | 95.00    | 95.00  |

| Li i depot       | entitlement                           | IVI | V   | Actual | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-----|-----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| LPI Depot        | % animal/debris cleared within        | м   | с   | Target | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  |
| El l'Depol       | timescales                            | IVI | U   | Actual | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| LPI Depot        | % of flytips dealt with in response   | М   | с   | Target | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  | 95.00  |
| Errbeper         | time                                  | 101 | Ű   | Actual | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
| LPI Depot        | Number of missed household waste      | м   | с   | Target | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    | 116    |
| LFI Depol        | collections                           | IVI | C   | Actual | 104    | 123    | 67     | 98     | 93     | 91     | 96     | 99     | 50     | 73     | 71     | 171    |
|                  | Number of missed recycle waste        |     |     | Target | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     | 50     |
| LPI Depot        | collections                           | М   | С   | Actual | 35     | 28     | 18     | 18     | 17     | 21     | 15     | 17     | 14     | 32     | 44     | 21     |
|                  |                                       |     |     | Target | 30     | 30     | 30     |        |        | 30     | 30     | 30     | 30     |        |        |        |
| LPI              | The number of domestic burglaries     | М   | С   | Actual |        |        |        | 30     | 30     |        |        |        |        | 30     | 28     | 30     |
|                  |                                       |     |     | Target | 21     | 20     | 24     | 30     | 44     | 39     | 47     | 51     | 40     | 43     | 28     |        |
| LPI              | The number of violent crimes          | М   | С   | Actual | 88     | 89     | 86     | 89     | 89     | 86     | 89     | 86     | 89     | 89     | 81     |        |
|                  |                                       |     |     |        | 89     | 92     | 101    | 98     | 101    | 93     | 58     | 75     | 83     | 81     | 62     |        |
| LPI              | The number of robberies               | М   | С   | Target | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 5      | 4      | 5      |
|                  |                                       |     |     | Actual | 3      | 3      | 2      | 7      | 4      | 5      | 2      | 5      | 7      | 10     | 4      |        |
| LPI              | The number of vehicle crimes          | м   | С   | Target | 64     | 65     | 62     | 65     | 65     | 62     | 65     | 62     | 65     | 65     | 58     |        |
| 2                |                                       |     | Ű   | Actual | 49     | 53     | 64     | 65     | 56     | 61     | 69     | 63     | 62     | 59     | 63     |        |
| LPI<br>Community | Number of ottendences at arts success | М   | с   | Target | 60     | 530    | 500    | 800    | 12,000 | 600    | 600    | 2,000  | 200    | 150    | 135    | 123    |
| Services         | Number of attendances at arts events  | М   | U U | Actual | 66     | 390    | 523    | 2,365  | 12,768 | 625    | 625    | 2,640  | 255    | 125    | 140    | 120    |
| LPI Sports       | Number of people attending the        |     |     | Target |        |        | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | ,      | na     | na     | na     | na     |
| Services         | annual bonfire                        | A   | S   | Actual |        |        | na     | na     | na     | na     | na     | 2,757  | na     | na     | na     | na     |

| LPI<br>Community | Artrix usage              | А   | C | Target |        |        | na     | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    |       |
|------------------|---------------------------|-----|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Safety           | Anna usaye                | A   | J | Actual |        |        | na     | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    | na    |       |
| LPI<br>Community | Sports Centres Usage      | м   | 0 | Target | 53,601 | 53,899 | 53,993 | 62339 | 58184 | 57927 | 55217 | 58515 | 32109 | 60758 | 70443 |       |
| Safety           | Spons Centres Osage       | IVI | J | Actual | 53,964 | 54,580 | 55,401 | 57391 | 45616 | 55694 | 57410 | 57602 | 31616 | 57119 | 71802 | 29209 |
| LPI<br>Community | Sporta davalanmant usagaa | м   | 0 | Target |        |        | 1,636  | 1654  | 1681  | 1763  | 1763  | 1620  | 1558  | 1579  | 1640  | 1722  |
| Safety           | Sports development usages | IVI | J | Actual | 1,655  | 1,901  | 1,663  | 1792  | 1334  | 1818  | 2102  | 1865  | 1206  | 1542  | 1811  | 2550  |

#### Planning & Environment

|          |  | м   | с        | Target | 75.00  | 75.00 | 75.00 | 75.00 | 75.00  | 75.00 | 75.00  | 75.00  | 75.00  | 75.00  | 75.00 | 75.00 |
|----------|--|-----|----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|
| NI157    | The percentage of major planning applications determined within 13 |     | Ũ        | Actual | 100.00 | 80.00 | 50.00 | 66.00 | 100.00 | 66.00 | 100.00 | 50.00  | 100.00 | 0.00   | 0.00  | 50.00 |
|          | weeks  |     | numerate | or     | 2      | 4     | 1     | 2     | 1      | 2     | 1      | 1      | 4      | 0      | 0     | 1     |
|          |  |     | denomina | ltor   | 2      | 5     | 2     | 3     | 1      | 3     | 1      | 2      | 4      | 2      | 1     | 2     |
|          |  | м   | с        | Target | 80.00  | 80.00 | 80.00 | 80.00 | 80.00  | 80.00 | 80.00  | 80.00  | 80.00  | 80.00  | 80.00 | 80.00 |
| NI157    | The percentage of minor planning applications determined within 8  |     | Ũ        | Actual | 67.00  | 88.00 | 85.00 | 58.00 | 100.00 | 46.00 | 72.00  | 100.00 | 78.00  | 100.00 | 83.00 | 86.00 |
|          | weeks  |     | numerate | or     | 10     | 15    | 11    | 11    | 6      | 7     | 13     | 6      | 18     | 9      | 5     | 13    |
|          |  |     | denomina | ıtor   | 15     | 17    | 13    | 19    | 6      | 15    | 18     | 6      | 23     | 9      | 6     | 15    |
|          |  | М   | С        | Target | 90.00  | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00 | 90.00  | 90.00 | 90.00  | 90.00  | 90.00  | 90.00  | 90.00 | 90.00 |
| NI157    | The percentage of other planning applications determined within 8  | IVI | Ũ        | Actual | 95.00  | 96.00 | 90.00 | 88.00 | 93.00  | 88.00 | 78.00  | 71.00  | 83.00  | 87.00  | 95.00 | 92.00 |
|          | weeks  |     | numerate | or     | 75     | 81    | 73    | 64    | 51     | 56    | 33     | 25     | 53     | 48     | 23    | 47    |
|          |  |     | denomina | ltor   | 79     | 84    | 81    | 72    | 55     | 63    | 42     | 35     | 64     | 55     | 24    | 51    |
| NI 155   | Number of affordable homes   | Q   | С        | Target |        |       | 20    |       |        | 40    |        |        | 60     |        |       | 80    |
| . 101100 | delivered  | 3   | U        | Actual |        |       | 50    |       |        | 24    |        |        | 61     |        |       | 10    |
| NI 156   | Number of households ocupying                                      | Q   | S        | Target |        |       | 23    |       |        | 23    |        |        | 23     |        |       |       |
| 101 100  | temporary accommodation  | Q   | 3        | Actual |        |       | 13    |       |        | 10    |        |        | 10     |        |       | 13    |
| LP       | Average time (weeks) from referral                                 | Q   | С        | Target | n/a    | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a    | n/a   | n/a    | n/a    |        | n/a    | n/a   |       |
| Housir   | to completion for category 1 DFGs                                  | Q   | U U      | Actual |        |       |       |       |        | 38    |        |        | 29     |        |       | 42    |
| LP       | Average time (weeks) from referral                                 | Q   | С        | Target | n/a    | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a    | n/a   | n/a    | n/a    |        | n/a    | n/a   |       |
| Housir   | to completion for category 2 DFGs                                  | Q   | C        | Actual |        |       |       |       |        | 51    |        |        | 35     |        |       | 52    |
| LP       | Average time (weeks) from referral                                 | Q   | С        | Target | n/a    | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a    | n/a   | n/a    | n/a    |        | n/a    | n/a   |       |
| Housir   | to completion for category 3 DFGs                                  | Q   |          | Actual |        |       |       |       |        | 44    |        |        | 61     |        |       | 74    |
| LP       | Percentage of DFG budget   | ~   | 0        | Target | n/a    | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a    | n/a   | n/a    | n/a    |        | n/a    | n/a   |       |
| Housir   | •  | Q   | S        | Actual |        |       |       |       |        | 36    |        |        | 96.90  |        |       | 100   |

| LP      | Percentage of DFG budget spent   | 0 | S | Target | n/a |       | n/a | n/a |    |
|---------|----------------------------------|---|---|--------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------|-----|-----|----|
| Housing | r elcentage of Dr G budget spent | ÿ | 0 | Actual |     |     |     |     |     | 26  |     |     | 57.40 |     |     | 97 |

#### E-government & Customer Services

|       | SC   | Monthly Call Volumes Customer Contact    | М   | S | Target | n/a    |
|-------|--|--|-----|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|       |  | Centre                                   | 101 | - | Actual | 9,685 | 7,576 | 6,341 | 7,215 | 6,275 | 7,497 | 6,931 | 6,653 | 5,544 | 8,638 | 8,187 | 11,014 |
| C     | CSC  | Monthly Call Volume Council Switchboard  | М   | S | Target | n/a    |
|       | 000  | Monthly Gall Volume Goundi Gwitchboard   | 171 | - | Actual | 6,243 | 5,629 | 5,412 | 5,657 | 4,842 | 5,389 | 5,081 | 4,361 | 3,753 | 5,021 | 5,666 | 5,732  |
|       | CSC LPI 3.1                                  | Resolution at First Point of Contact all | М   | С | Target | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00  |
|       | 500 EI 1 5.1                                 | services (percentage)                    | IVI | _ | Actual | 98.00 | 98.60 | 98.90 | 99.00 | 98.70 | 98.40 | 99.00 | 99.00 | 99.00 | 98.00 | 99.00 | 98.60  |
| _     |  | % of Calls Answered                      | М   | С | Target | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00  |
|       | 00 EI 1 0.2                                  |  | 171 | _ | Actual | 78.00 | 77.00 | 87.00 | 83.00 | 94.90 | 88.70 | 91.00 | 91.00 | 94.00 | 92.00 | 91.00 | 87.00  |
|       | <u>,                                    </u> | Average Speed of Answer (seconds)        | М   | С | Target | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00  |
| וֹ וּ | 500 EI 1 5.5                                 | wordge opeed of Answer (seconds)         | 141 | - | Actual | 34.00 | 36.00 | 26.00 | 28.00 | 22.00 | 24.00 | 18.00 | 17.00 | 9.00  | 16.00 | 16.00 | 30.00  |

# Page 45

#### **Financial Services**

|         |  | М   | С         | Target | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00   | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00   | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00   | 16.00 | 16.00 | 16.00   |
|---------|--|-----|-----------|--------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|
| NI 181  | Time taken to process HB/CT benefit  |     | -         | Actual | 15.51 | 16.27 | 16.42   | 16.91 | 17.53 | 18.52   | 16.58 | 15.12 | 17.30   | 16.48 | 10.70 | 12.72   |
|         | new claims or change events  |     | numerato  | r      |       |       |         |       |       |         |       | 33.17 | 34.61   | 32.96 | 21.40 | 25.44   |
|         |  |     | denominat | or     |       |       |         |       |       |         |       | 2     | 2       | 2     | 2     | 2       |
| NI 179  | VFM - total net value of on-going cash<br>releasing VFM gains since the start of | Q   | С         | Target |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       | 151,000 |
| INI 179 | 2008-09  | 3   | -         | Actual |       |       | 150,000 |       |       | 155,000 |       |       | 147,000 |       |       |         |
|         | Percentage of invoices paid within 10  | м   | С         | Target |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |       |       |         |
|         | days of receipt  | IVI | -         | Actual | n/a   | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | n/a   | n/a     | n/a   | n/a   | 81.91   |

| EB001 | Percentage of invoices paid within 30 |     | 0 | Target | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00  | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 | 98.00 |
|-------|---------------------------------------|-----|---|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| FP001 | days of receipt                       | IVI | C | Actual | 99.85 | 99.68 | 99.30 | 99.18 | 99.55 | 99.66 | 100.00 | 99.84 | 99.36 | 98.48 | 98.55 | 99.21 |

#### Chief Executive's Department

|   |        | Number of complaints received                         | M   | 0 | Target | n/a | n/a | n/a   | n/a  | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   |
|---|--------|---|-----|---|--------|-----|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
|   |        | (Council wide) Monthly. Source new complaints system. | М   | J | Actual | 23  | 17  | 18    | 39   | 22    | 10    | 16    | 16    | 18    | 38    | 23    | 30    |
|   |        | percentage of PACT meetings                           | Q   | С | Target |     |     | 85.00 |      | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 | 85.00 |
|   | CCPP02 | attended by SMT members                               | ÿ   | - | Actual | n/a | n/a | 72.00 |      | n/a   | 86.00 | n/a   | n/a   | 83.30 | n/a   | n/a   |       |
|   | LPI    | Number of compliments received                        | м   | С | Target | n/a | n/a | n/a   | n/a  | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   | n/a   |
| כ | CCPP03 | (Council wide)  | IVI | - | Actual | 9   | 5   | 4     | 8.00 | 3     | 5     | 1     | 6     | 2     | 7     | 16    | 4     |

#### Legal, Equalities & Democratic services

| 0 | וסוסו | The level of the Equality Standard for               | м   | C | Target | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.00 |
|---|-------|--|-----|---|--------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|------|
|   |       | Local Government to which the<br>Authority conforms. | IVI | U | Actual | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2.00 |

#### Human Resources and Organisational Development

| LPI<br>(form orby  | The average number of working days | M   | 0 | Target | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.71 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 |
|--------------------|------------------------------------|-----|---|--------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| (formerly<br>BV12) | lost due to sickness.              | IVI | C | Actual | 0.72 | 0.50 | 0.62 | 1.13 | 1.01 | 0.99 | 1.15 | 1.12 | 1.04 | 0.94 | 0.62 | 0.75 |

Sickness Figures for 2008/2009 by Service

APPENDIX 4

|                    |                         |                                   |         |                   | Sick       | ness Fig  | gures for 2   | 2008/200      | 9 by Se          | rvice       |               |                  |                                 |               |                  | APPENDIX                      |               |                                 |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|-------------------|------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-------------|---------------|------------------|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------|-------------------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|
|                    |                         | un 10 asi Januari                 | /       | Sof Participation | at to Date | iner Tage | 100809        |               |                  |             |               |                  |                                 | / /           | / /              |                               | / /           |                                 |
| /                  | alfte@M <sup>2</sup>    | and another is the struct         |         | thress day        | or the     | et        | petres Target | AD' AD        | 08 May           | 08 111      | 08 11         | 08 AUC           | 10 <sup>8</sup> 5 <sup>87</sup> | 00 Octo       | NOW NOW          | 0 <sup>8</sup> D <sup>2</sup> | 08 Jan        | .0 <sup>5</sup> 10 <sup>5</sup> |
| 10                 | <sup>8</sup> / 211      | alto                              | Totals  | °/~               | 1845 PC    | P10.      | sickne        |               |                  |             |               |                  |                                 |               |                  |                               |               |                                 |
| 24.50              | / A <sup>0</sup>        | Legal & Democratic                | 159.50  | 6.51              |            | 5.5       | 29.95         | 28.00         | 28.00            | 28.00       | 28.00         | 28.00            | 28.00                           | 28.00         | 28.00            | 28.00                         | 28.00         | 24.50                           |
| 24.50              | 1.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 95.50   | 3.90              | 0.01       | 5.5       | 4.00          | 13.00         | 28.00            | 28.00       | 28.00         | 28.00            | 28.00                           | 28.00         | 28.00            | 28.00                         | 28.00         | 24.50                           |
|                    | 3.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 64.00   | 2.61              | -          |           | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00             | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.00             | 0.00                            | 20.00         | 2.00             | 0.00                          | 0.00          | 42.00                           |
|                    |                         |                                   |         |                   |            |           | 0.13          | 0.46          | 0.00             | 0.11        | 0.18          | 0.18             | 0.61                            | 1.02          | 0.34             | 0.13                          | 1.00          | 1.76                            |
| 14.00              |                         | CEO & Policy                      | 211.50  | 15.11             | 15.11      | 6.0       | 13.17         | 14.00         | 14.00            | 14.00       | 14.00         | 14.00            | 14.00                           | 14.00         | 14.00            | 14.00                         | 14.00         | 14.00                           |
|                    | 1.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 89.50   | 6.39              |            |           | 2.00          | 0.00          | 3.00             | 5.00        | 25.00         | 7.00             | 3.50                            | 4.00          | 33.00            | 5.00                          | 0.00          | 2.00                            |
|                    | 1.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 122.00  | 8.71              |            |           | 22.00         | 16.00         | 0.00             | 0.00        | 0.00          | 20.00            | 0.00                            | 0.00          | 0.00             | 22.00                         | 20.00         | 22.00                           |
| 28.00              |                         | Egovernment & Customer Services   | 330.50  | 11.80             | 11.80      | 5.00      | 1.82 31.01    | 1.14<br>31.00 | 0.21 31.00       | 0.36 31.00  | 1.79<br>31.00 | 1.93<br>31.00    | 0.25 31.00                      | 0.29 31.00    | 2.36<br>31.00    | 1.93<br>31.00                 | 1.43<br>31.00 | 1.71<br>28.00                   |
| 28.00              | 1.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 175.50  | 6.27              | 11.60      | 5.00      | 31.01         | 11.50         | 2.00             | 31.00       | 31.00         | 5.50             | 17.00                           | 5.00          | 31.00            | 8.00                          | 12.00         | 28.00                           |
|                    | 1.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 155.00  | 5.54              | -          |           | 14.00         | 3.00          | 0.00             | 0.00        | 38.00         | 36.00            | 8.00                            | 20.00         | 10.00            | 8.00                          | 8.00          | 10.00                           |
|                    |                         |                                   |         |                   |            |           | 1.48          | 0.47          | 0.06             | 1.23        | 1.32          | 1.34             | 0.81                            | 0.81          | 1.60             | 0.52                          | 0.65          | 0.43                            |
| 45.00              |                         | Finance                           | 298.50  | 6.63              | 6.63       | 9.0       | 43.32         | 41.00         | 41.00            | 41.00       | 41.00         | 41.00            | 41.00                           | 41.00         | 41.00            | 41.00                         | 41.00         | 45.00                           |
|                    | 0.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 173.50  | 3.86              |            |           | 39.50         | 3.00          | 19.00            | 24.00       | 4.00          | 22.00            | 3.00                            | 5.00          | 15.00            | 19.00                         | 20.00         | 0.00                            |
|                    | 0.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 125.00  | 2.78              |            |           | 11.00         | 19.50         | 10.50            | 11.50       | 22.50         | 11.00            | 24.50                           | 14.50         | 0.00             | 0.00                          | 0.00          | 0.00                            |
| 9.00               |                         | HROD                              | 23.00   | 2.56              | 2.56       | 4.25      | 1.17<br>8.83  | 0.55 8.00     | 0.72 8.00        | 0.87 8.00   | 0.65          | 0.80 8.00        | 0.67 8.00                       | 0.48 8.00     | 0.37 8.00        | 0.46 8.00                     | 0.49 8.00     | 0.00 9.00                       |
| 9.00               | 1.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 23.00   | 2.56              | 2.00       | 4.25      | 1.00          | 3.00          | 1.00             | 0.00        | 0.00          | 2.00             | 1.00                            | 3.00          | 4.00             | 1.00                          | 6.00          | 1.00                            |
|                    | 0.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 0.00    | 0.00              |            |           | 0.00          | 0.00          | 0.00             | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.00             | 0.00                            | 0.00          | 0.00             | 0.00                          | 0.00          | 0.00                            |
|                    |                         |                                   |         |                   |            |           | 0.11          | 0.38          | 0.13             | 0.00        | 0.00          | 0.25             | 0.13                            | 0.38          | 0.50             | 0.13                          | 0.75          | 0.11                            |
| 61.00 U            |                         | Planning & Environment Services   | 588.50  | 9.65              | 9.65       | 7.25      | 63.68         | 61.00         | 61.00            | 61.00       | 61.00         | 61.00            | 61.00                           | 61.00         | 61.00            | 61.00                         | 61.00         | 61.00                           |
| മ                  | 7.00                    | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 357.50  | 5.86              |            |           | 34.50         | 7.00          | 3.00             | 49.50       | 27.00         | 9.50             | 49.00                           | 50.00         | 56.50            | 32.00                         | 18.50         | 21.00                           |
| Q                  | 2.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 231.00  | 3.79              |            |           | 0.00          | 0.00 0.11     | 0.00<br>0.05     | 0.00        | 33.00<br>0.98 | 40.00            | 40.00<br>1.46                   | 24.00<br>1.21 | 20.00<br>1.25    | 22.00<br>0.89                 | 20.00<br>0.63 | 32.00<br>0.87                   |
| 165.0 <b>D</b>     |                         | Street Scene & Community Services | 2142.00 | 12.98             | 12.98      | 11.0      | 0.54          | 168.00        | 168.00           | 0.81 168.00 | 168.00        | 0.81 168.00      | 168.00                          | 168.00        | 168.00           | 168.00                        | 168.00        | 165.00                          |
|                    | 18.00                   | Short term Absences up to 28 days | 963.00  | 5.84              |            |           | 65.00         | 67.00         | 82.00            | 82.50       | 55.00         | 111.50           | 123.00                          | 120.00        | 52.50            | 72.00                         | 46.00         | 86.50                           |
| 4                  | 3.00                    | Long term Absences 29 days+       | 1179.00 | 7.15              | -          |           | 40.00         | 48.00         | 98.00            | 174.00      | 141.00        | 78.00            | 116.00                          | 119.00        | 125.00           | 139.00                        | 58.00         | 43.00                           |
| Z                  |                         |                                   |         |                   |            |           | 0.63          | 0.68          | 1.07             | 1.53        | 1.17          | 1.13             | 1.42                            | 1.42          | 1.06             | 1.26                          | 0.62          | 0.78                            |
|                    |                         | Total Short Term Absence YTD      | 1877.50 |                   |            |           | 178.00        | 104.50        | 110.00           | 202.00      | 119.00        | 162.50           | 213.50                          | 195.50        | 208.00           | 140.50                        | 130.50        | 113.50                          |
| 346.50             |                         | Total Long Term Absence YTD       | 1876.00 |                   |            |           | 87.00         | 86.50         | 108.50           | 185.50      | 234.50        | 185.00           |                                 |               |                  | 191.00                        |               | 149.00                          |
|                    |                         | TOTAL Days lost YTD               | 3753.50 |                   | 10.66      | 8.75      | 265.00        | 191.00        | 218.50           | 387.50      | 353.50        | 347.50           | 402.00                          | 393.00        | 365.00           | 331.50                        | 236.50        | 262.50                          |
|                    |                         |                                   |         |                   |            |           |               |               |                  |             |               |                  |                                 |               |                  |                               |               |                                 |
|                    |                         | TARGET sick days per FTE per mor  | nth     |                   |            |           | 0.71          | 0.71          | 0.71             | 0.71        | 0.71          | 0.71             | 0.71                            | 0.71          | 0.71             | 0.79                          | 0.79          | 0.78                            |
|                    |                         | BVPI 12 Sick Days Per FTE in Mont | h       |                   |            |           | 0.74          | 0.54          | 0.62             | 1.10        | 1.01          | 0.99             | 1.15                            | 1.12          | 1.04             | 0.94                          | 0.67          | 0.75                            |
|                    |                         | TARGET sick days per FTE YTD      |         |                   |            |           | 0.71          | 1.42          | 2.13             | 2.84        | 3.55          | 4.26             | 4.97                            | 5.68          | 6.39             | 7.18                          | 7.97          | 8.75                            |
|                    |                         | BVPI 12 - Sick Days per FTE YTD   |         |                   |            |           | 0.74          | 1.29          | 1.91             | 3.01        | 4.02          | 5.01             | 6.15                            | 7.27          | 8.31             | 9.26                          | 9.93          | 10.66                           |
|                    |                         | BVPI 12 Projected Outturn         |         |                   |            |           | 8.89          | 7.79          | 7.69             | 9.08        | 9.68          | 10.05            | 10.57                           | 10.93         | 11.10            | 11.13                         | 10.85         | 10.66                           |
|                    |                         | 2004-05                           | 3074.99 | 1                 |            |           |               |               | 725.97           | 1           |               | 875.43           | 1                               |               | 926 50           | тт                            |               | 627.17                          |
|                    |                         | 2004-05                           | 3074.99 |                   |            |           |               |               | 735.87<br>695.38 |             |               | 875.43<br>949.95 | 1                               |               | 836.52<br>883.98 | ł                             |               | 627.17                          |
|                    |                         | 2005-00                           | 3806.00 |                   |            |           |               |               | 1067.00          |             |               | 959.50           | 1                               |               | 951.00           | t                             |               | 828.50                          |
|                    |                         | 2007-08                           | 3348.50 |                   |            |           |               |               | 792.00           | 1           |               | 759.50           | 1                               |               | 963.00           | 1                             |               | 834.00                          |
|                    |                         | 20008-09                          | 3753.50 | l                 |            |           |               |               | 674.50           | ]           |               | 1088.50          | ]                               |               | 1160.00          |                               |               | 830.50                          |
|                    |                         | Year                              | Annual  |                   |            |           |               |               | Quarter 1        |             |               | Quarter 2        |                                 |               | Quarter 3        |                               |               | Quarter 4                       |
| larch 08<br>lay 08 | 357.66<br><b>351.00</b> |                                   |         | Key:              |            | more the  | an 10% wors   | e than targ   | get              |             | worse         | than targe       | et, but wit                     | hin 10%       |                  | on or b                       | etter thar    | n target                        |

 FTE May 08
 351.00

 FTE March 09
 346.50

 # of Months
 12

Page 48

This page is intentionally left blank

# Agenda Item 9

#### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

#### 18 MAY 2009

#### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

#### **IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION REPORT [MARCH 2009]**

| Responsible Portfolio Holder | Councillor Mike Webb<br>Portfolio Holder for Customer Care<br>and Service |
|------------------------------|---|
| Responsible Officer          | Hugh Bennett<br>Assistant Chief Executive                                 |

#### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To ask PMB to consider the Improvement Plan Exception Report for March 2009 (Appendix 1).

#### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATION</u>

- 2.1 That PMB considers and approve the revisions to the Improvement Plan Exception Report attached as Appendix 1, and the corrective action being taken.
- 2.2 That PMB notes that for the 102 actions highlighted for March within the plan 80.4% of the Improvement Plan is on target [green], 3.9% is one month behind [amber] and 2% is over one month behind [red]. The actions that have been reprogrammed with approval<sup>1</sup>reflect the reprogramming of the town centre actions (as a result of Parkside) and the re-programming of HR actions (as a result of JE implementation).
- 2.3 This month's performance is shown on the first page of Appendix 1.

#### 3 BACKGROUND

- 3.1 July 2008 Cabinet approved the Improvement Plan 2008/09. The Improvement Plan is directly linked to the five corporate priorities and thirteen enablers identified in the Council Plan 2008/2011.
- 3.2 The Improvement Plan is designed to push the Council through to a rating of Fair during 2008.
- 3.3 There were four amber and two red activities this month for the following areas of the Improvement Plan:-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NB reprogrammed actions are those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. Extended actions are listed separately are actions that are anticipated to take longer than had originally been programmed

| Ref.         | Council Plan Balanced<br>Scorecard Reference | Number |
|--------------|--|--------|
| CP4          | Sense of Community                           | 1      |
| FP3          | Financial Strategy                           | 1      |
| FP4          | Financial and Performance<br>Reporting       | 1      |
| PR5          | Planning                                     | 1      |
| HR & OD<br>2 | Modernisation                                | 2      |

3.4 The re-programmed actions i.e. those that have been suspended or moved to a much later date in the Plan are:-

|    | Ref.   | Action  | Reason   |
|----|--|---|--|
| 1  | 1.2.2  | Market Hall redevelopment                                   | Suspended. Credit Crunch   |
| 2  | 1.4.2,1.4.3,1.4.4,1.4.5,1.4.6,1.4.7          | Market Hall negotiations.                                   | Suspended. Credit<br>Crunch.   |
| 3  | 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5                          | Affordable housing SPD.                                     | Suspended. RSS2.   |
| 4  | 3.3.1  | Service data monitoring                                     | Suspended. Expected to be introduced Spring 2009                       |
| 5  | 4.1.5, 4.1.13                                | Consultation for LSP neighbourhoods.                        | Re-programmed.<br>Different approach agreed<br>for 2009/2010.          |
| 6  | 4.3.11, 4.3.12,<br>4.3.14, 4.3.15            | Review of promotional<br>materials / Artrix                 | Suspended. Budget constraints / SLA delays                             |
| 7  | 8.1.4  | Market test treasury advisors                               | Reprogrammed. Credit crunch  |
| 8  | 14.3.5                                       | Submission Core Strategy                                    | Reprogrammed. Delays with RSS review.                                  |
| 9  | 15.2.4                                       | Review and consult on the<br>"Bromsgrove Way".              | Suspended. Existing<br>approach acceptable.<br>Other HR&OD priorities. |
| 10 | 15.3.1, 15.3.2,<br>15.3.3                    | "Bromsgrove Way" and competency framework for non-managers. | See above.   |
| 11 | 16.3.3                                       | Review of harassment and<br>bullying policy                 | Suspended. Pending JE implementation                                   |
| 12 | 16.4.5                                       | Parental rights guide                                       | Re-programmed. Pending JE implementation                               |
| 13 | 18.1.2, 18.1.3,<br>18.1.4                    | PDR process review.   | Suspended. Pending JE implementation                                   |
| 14 | 18.3.1, 18.3.2,<br>18.3.3, 18.3.4,<br>18.3.5 | Core competencies for all staff.                            | Suspended. Pending JE implementation                                   |

#### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 No financial implications.

#### 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

5.1 No legal implications.

#### 6. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 The Improvement Plan relates to all of the Council's four objectives and five priorities as per the 2008/2011 Council Plan.

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1.1 The risks associated with the Improvement Plan are covered in the CCPP departmental risk register. Specific corporate risks are related to the Improvement Plan in the following ways:

| Corporate Risk Title                  | Improvement Plan Reference                            |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| KO1: Effective Financial Management   | FP2 – Financial Management                            |
| and Internal Control                  | FP3 – Financial Strategy                              |
| KO2: Effective corporate leadership   | FP1 – Value for Money                                 |
|                                       | FP2 – Financial Management                            |
|                                       | FP3 – Financial Strategy                              |
|                                       | FP4 – Financial and Performance                       |
|                                       | Reporting   |
|                                       | PR2 –Improved Governance                              |
| KO3: Effective Member / Officer       | PR2 –Improved Governance                              |
| relations                             | HROD1 – Learning and                                  |
|                                       | Development   |
| KO4: Effective Member / Member        | PR2 –Improved Governance                              |
| relations                             | HROD1 – Learning and                                  |
|                                       | Development   |
| KO5*: Full compliance with the Civil  | PR1 – Customer Process                                |
| Contingencies Act and effective       |   |
| Business Continuity                   |   |
| KO6: Maximising the benefits of       | PR3 – Spatial Business Project                        |
| investment in ICT equipment and       |   |
| training                              |   |
| KO7: Effective partnership working    | PR4 – Improved Partnership                            |
|                                       | Working   |
| KO8: Effective communications         | PR1 – Customer Process                                |
| (internal and external)               | FP4 – Financial and Performance                       |
|                                       | Reporting   |
| KO9: Equalities and diversity agenda  | HROD 4– Performance Culture<br>CP3 – Customer Service |
| embedded across the Authority         | CP4 – Sense of Community                              |
| KO10: Appropriate investment in       | HROD1 – Learning and                                  |
| employee development and training     | Development   |
| comployee development and training    | HROD2 – Modernisation                                 |
|                                       | HROD4 – Performance Culture                           |
| KO11: Effective employee recruitment  | HROD2 – Modernisation                                 |
| and retention                         |   |
| KO12: Full compliance with all Health | FP3 – Financial Strategy                              |
| and Safety legislation                | PR1 – Customer Process                                |
|                                       | HROD2 – Modernisation                                 |

| KO13: Effective two tier working and<br>Community Engagement | CP4 – Sense of Community<br>PR4 – Improved Partnership  |
|--|---|
| KO14: Successful implementation of Job Evaluation            | Working<br>HROD2 - Modernisation  |
| KO15: All Council data is accurate and<br>of high quality    | FP2 – Financial Management<br>FP4 – Financial and Performance<br>Reporting<br>PR3 – Spatial Business Project<br>HROD4 – Performance culture |
| KO16: The Council no longer in recovery                      | FP1 – Value for Money<br>FP4 – Financial and Performance<br>Reporting   |
| KO17: Effective Projects Management                          | FP1 – Value for Money<br>PR3 – Spatial Business Project   |
| KO19: Effective Business and<br>Performance Management       | FP4 – Financial and Performance<br>Reporting  |
| KO20: Effective Customer Focused<br>Authority                | CP3 – Customer Service<br>CP4 – Sense of Community<br>PR1 – Customer Process  |

\* KO5 and KO18 have been merged

#### 8. <u>CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS</u>

8.1 The Improvement Plan is concerned with the strategic and operational issues that will affect the customer.

#### 9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 Please see sections CP3 and CP4 of the Improvement Plan

#### 10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 See section FP1 of the Improvement Plan

#### 11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues: See Section FP1 of the Improvement Plan. Personnel Implications: See Sections HROD1-HROD4 of the Improvement Plan. Governance/Performance Management: See Sections FP4 and PR2 of the Improvement Plan. Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act 1998: See section CP4 of the Improvement Plan Policy: All sections of the Improvement Plan relate to this. Environmental: See sections CP1 and PR5 of the Improvement Plan.

#### 12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

| Portfolio Holder | No |
|------------------|----|
|                  |    |

| Chief Executive                                | At CMT |
|--|--------|
| Executive Director (Partnerships and Projects) | At CMT |
| Executive Director (Services)                  | At CMT |
| Assistant Chief Executive                      | Yes    |
| Head of Service                                | At CMT |
| Head of Financial Services                     | At CMT |
| Head of Legal & Democratic Services            | At CMT |
| Head of Organisational Development & HR        | At CMT |
| Corporate Procurement Team                     | No     |

#### 13. WARDS AFFECTED

13.1 All wards

#### 14. APPENDICES

14.1 Appendix 1 Improvement Plan Exception Report March 2009

#### 15. BACKGROUND PAPERS:

15.1 The full Improvement Plan for March can be found at <u>www.bromsgrove.gov.uk</u> under meetings Minutes and Agendas. A hard copy is also left in the Members' Room each month.

#### CONTACT OFFICER

| Name:   | Rebecca Dunne             |
|---------|---------------------------|
| E Mail: | r.dunne@bromsgrove.gov.uk |
| Tel:    | (01527) 881616            |

## Appendix 1

#### PROGRESS IN 2008/09

Overall performance as at the end of March 2009, in comparison with the previous year, is as follows: -

| July 2007 |     |       | Au    | gust 20 | 07    | September 2007 |     |       | October 2007 |     |       | Nove  | ember 2 | 2007  | December 2007 |     |       |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|----------------|-----|-------|--------------|-----|-------|-------|---------|-------|---------------|-----|-------|
| RED       | 1   | 0.6%  | RED   | 1       | 0.7%  | RED            | 4   | 2.4%  | RED          | 3   | 1.8%  | RED   | 5       | 3.1%  | RED           | 3   | 2.0%  |
| AMBER     | 5   | 3.2%  | AMBER | 13      | 9.2%  | AMBER          | 11  | 6.6%  | AMBER        | 16  | 9.6%  | AMBER | 11      | 7.0%  | AMBER         | 17  | 11.6% |
| GREEN     | 152 | 95.6% | GREEN | 126     | 88.7% | GREEN          | 149 | 89.2% | GREEN        | 142 | 85.0% | GREEN | 138     | 86.9% | GREEN         | 121 | 82.3% |
| REPRO     | 1   | 0.6%  | REPRO | 2       | 1.4%  | REPRO          | 3   | 1.8%  | REPRO        | 6   | 3.6%  | REPRO | 5       | 3.1%  | REPRO         | 6   | 4.1%  |

| Γ  | January 2008 |     |       | February 2008 |     |       | March 2008 |     |       | A     | pril 200 | )8    | N     | 1ay 200 | )8    | June 2008 |    |       |
|----|--------------|-----|-------|---------------|-----|-------|------------|-----|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-----------|----|-------|
|    | RED          | 2   | 1.4%  | RED           | 2   | 1.4%  | RED        | 2   | 1.5%  | RED   | 3        | 2.7%  | RED   | 8       | 7.55% | RED       | 6  | 6.3%  |
|    | AMBER        | 16  | 11.4% | AMBER         | 10  | 7.3%  | AMBER      | 10  | 7.4%  | AMBER | 11       | 9.9%  | AMBER | 4       | 3.8%  | AMBER     | 4  | 4.2%  |
|    | GREEN        | 118 | 84.3% | GREEN         | 122 | 88.4% | GREEN      | 117 | 86.7% | GREEN | 92       | 82.9% | GREEN | 86      | 81.1% | GREEN     | 74 | 77.0% |
| ag | REPRO        | 4   | 2.9%  | REPRO         | 4   | 2.9%  | REPRO      | 6   | 4.4%  | REPRO | 5        | 4.5%  | REPRO | 8       | 7.55% | REPRO     | 12 | 12.5% |
| Ð  |              |     |       |               |     |       | •          | •   |       |       |          |       |       |         |       |           |    |       |

ъ 4

| July 2008 |     |       | August 2008 |    |       | September 2008 |    |       | October 2008 |     |       | Nove  | ember | 2008  | December 2008 |     |       |
|-----------|-----|-------|-------------|----|-------|----------------|----|-------|--------------|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------|-----|-------|
| RED       | 11  | 8.6%  | RED         | 17 | 14.4% | RED            | 16 | 11.9% | RED          | 15  | 10.6% | RED   | 12    | 8.7%  | RED           | 13  | 9.9%  |
| AMBER     | 3   | 2.3%  | AMBER       | 4  | 3.4%  | AMBER          | 8  | 6.0%  | AMBER        | 7   | 5.0%  | AMBER | 8     | 5.8%  | AMBER         | 5   | 3.9%  |
| GREEN     | 114 | 89.1% | GREEN       | 96 | 81.4% | GREEN          | 99 | 73.9% | GREEN        | 104 | 73.8% | GREEN | 106   | 76.8% | GREEN         | 100 | 76.3% |
| REPRO     | 0   | 0%    | REPRO       | 1  | 0.8%  | REPRO*         | 11 | 8.2%  | REPRO        | 15  | 10.6% | REPRO | 12    | 8.7%  | REPRO         | 13  | 9.9%  |

| Jar   | nuary 2 | 2009  | Feb   | ruary 2 | 2009  | Ма    | arch 20 | 09    | Α     | pril 2009 | 9 | Μ     | ay 200 | 9 | Ju    | ine 200 | )9 |
|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-----------|---|-------|--------|---|-------|---------|----|
| RED   | 0       | 0%    | RED   | 2       | 1.5%  | RED   | 2       | 2%    | RED   |           | R | ED    |        |   | RED   |         |    |
| AMBER | 4       | 3.6%  | AMBER | 3       | 2.3%  | AMBER | 4       | 3.9%  | AMBER |           | A | MBER  |        |   | AMBER |         |    |
| GREEN | 95      | 86.4% | GREEN | 112     | 86.2% | GREEN | 82      | 80.4% | GREEN |           | G | IREEN |        |   | GREEN |         |    |
| REPRO | 11      | 10.0% | REPRO | 13      | 10.0% | REPRO | 14      | 13.7% | REPRO |           | R | EPRO  |        |   | REPRO |         |    |

### **Appendix 1**

| On Target or | One month     | Over one      | Original date<br>of planned<br>action |
|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------------------------|
| completed    | behind target | month         | of planned                            |
|              | or less       | behind target | action date.*                         |

\* NB. Reprogrammed actions are both those that have been suspended completely and those that have been moved to a later point in the year. They are not actions that have been extended and they do not appear on the exception report.

Out of the total of 102 actions for March 2009, 6 actions have been extended with approval. This amounts to 5.9 percent of the original actions scheduled for this month. Extended actions are shown with hatched marking and extend the timescale of a current or ongoing action on the Improvement Plan.

An Exception Report detailing corrective actions follows:

## Appendix 1

| CP4        | Sense of Commu   | unity   |      |      |       |                  |                             |       |         |       |         |        |       |      |   |  |   |
|------------|--|---------|------|------|-------|------------------|-----------------------------|-------|---------|-------|---------|--------|-------|------|---|--|---|
| Ref        | March 2009 Action  |         | Col  | our  | Со    | rrect            | ive A                       | ction | )       |       |         |        |       |      | Who   | Original<br>Date   | Revised<br>Date                               |
| 4.3.1<br>3 | Establish monitoring & me<br>arrangements set out in th<br>with the Artrix.              |         |      |      | by tl | ne Op<br>asing i | nis are<br>eratin<br>in the | g Tru | st of t | he Ar | trix ov | ver so | me of | the  | JG  | Jul-08   | Jul-09  |
| Ref.       | Action   | Lead    | July | Aug. | Sep.  | Oct.             | Nov.                        | Dec.  | Jan.    | Feb.  | Mar.    | Apr.   | May   | June |   | Corrective   | Action  |
| 4.3        | Popularity of events p   | orogram | ne   | 1    |       |                  | •                           | 1     |         |       |         |        | 1     | 1    | 1   |  |   |
| 4.3.13     | Establish monitoring &<br>meeting arrangements set<br>out in the SLA with the<br>Artrix. | JG      |      |      |       |                  |                             |       |         |       |         |        |       |      | operating<br>proposed<br>complete<br>to identif<br>meeting<br>to agree<br>discussio<br>SLA to b | g trust rejected<br>d by BDC and I<br>SLA. Officers h<br>ed the review o<br>y discrepancy<br>with the portfol<br>our position pr<br>on on this matte | nave proposed a<br>ave now<br>f the documents |

## Appendix 1

| FP3:  | <b>Financial Strateg</b>   | У       |        |       |       |        |       |         |      |      |      |                 |         |      |                                   |   |                 |  |
|-------|--|---------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|---------|------|------|------|-----------------|---------|------|-----------------------------------|---|-----------------|--|
| Ref   | March 2009 Action  |         | Col    | our   | Co    | rrect  | ive A | ctior   | 1    |      |      |                 |         |      | Who                               | Original<br>Date  | Revised<br>Date |  |
| 8.2.3 | Commitment accounting re<br>available for budget holder          |         |        |       | end   | and ii |       | ed in f |      |      |      | n plac<br>udget | e for y | /ear | JLP                               | Sep-08  | June-09         |  |
| Ref.  | Action   | Lead    | July   | Aug.  | Sep.  | Oct.   | Nov.  | Dec.    | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr.            | May     | June |                                   | Corrective Action   |                 |  |
| 8.2   | Implement the Purchas  | se Orde | ring F | Proce | essin | g Sys  | stem  |         |      | •    |      |                 | 1       | I    |                                   |   |                 |  |
| 8.2.3 | Commitment accounting<br>reports available for budget<br>holders | JLP     |        |       |       |        |       |         |      |      |      |                 |         |      | reports f<br>for year<br>included | or commitment<br>end ( April 09)<br>in future finan-<br>ng reports from | cial budget     |  |

## Appendix 1

| FP4:  | : Financial and P                         | Performa    | nce  | Re   | por  | ting  |       |       |      |      |      |                   |     |      |                                |   |   |
|-------|---|-------------|------|------|------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|-------------------|-----|------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Ref   | March 2009 Action                         |             | Col  | our  | Со   | rrect | ive A | ctior | ١    |      |      |                   |     |      | Who                            | Original<br>Date  | Revised<br>Date   |
| 9.3.1 | Monthly reporting to Po<br>Holders        | ortfolio    |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      | rocess<br>of Apri |     |      | HB                             | Sep-08  | April-09  |
| Ref.  | Action                                    | Lead        | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct.  | Nov.  | Dec.  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr.              | May | June |                                | Corrective  | Action  |
| 9.3   | Performance and Pr                        | roject Mana | agem | ent  |      |       |       | I     |      |      |      |                   | I   |      |                                |   |   |
| 9.3.1 | Monthly reporting to<br>Portfolio Holders | HB          |      |      |      |       |       |       |      |      |      |                   |     |      | months,<br>departm<br>not. Nev | due to staff vac<br>ents are doing<br>w starter in plac<br>expected to be | d over previous<br>cancy, so some<br>this and some are<br>ce from 01 April.<br>e "re-booted" from |

| Ref        | March 2009 Action                             |          | Col   | our  | Cor   | recti | ve Ac            | ction |      |      |                |         |        |      | Who   | Original<br>Date | Revised<br>Date  |
|------------|---|----------|-------|------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|------|------|----------------|---------|--------|------|---|------------------|--|
| 14.5.<br>1 | Maintain training programme weeks             | every 12 |       |      |       |       | ent of<br>s area |       |      |      | r to th'<br>d. | is role | e will |      | DH  | Dec 08           | June 09  |
| Ref.       | Action  | Lead     | July  | Aug. | Sep.  | Oct.  | Nov.             | Dec.  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar.           | Apr.    | May    | June |   | Corrective       | Action   |
| 14.5       | Maintain Greenbelt thr                        | ough en  | force | emen | t and | uph   | eld a            | ppea  | ls   | 1    | 1              | 1       |        |      |   |                  |  |
| 14.5.1     | Maintain training<br>programme every 12 weeks |          |       |      |       |       |                  |       |      |      |                |         |        |      | enforcen<br>Principal<br>this area<br>take plac | Planner to this  | t. Recruitment of<br>role will enable<br>d – Interviews to |

## Appendix 1

| Ref        | March 2009 Action       |      | Col  | our  | Cor  | rectiv | ve Ac | ction |      |      |      |      |     |      | Who      | Original<br>Date | Revised<br>Date |
|------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|------|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|-----|------|----------|------------------|-----------------|
| 16.1.<br>4 | Workforce data analysis |      |      |      | Wor  | k com  | imenc | ed    |      |      |      |      |     |      | HP       | Mar 09           | Apr 09          |
| Ref.       | Action                  | Lead | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct.   | Nov.  | Dec.  | Jan. | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | May | June |          | Corrective       | Action          |
| 16.1       | Workforce Planning      |      | 1    | I    |      |        |       | L     |      |      |      |      |     |      |          |                  |                 |
| 16.1.4     | Workforce data analysis |      |      |      |      |        |       |       |      |      |      |      |     |      | Work cor | mmenced          |                 |

| Ref        | March 2009 Action                              |      | Col  | our  | Cor  | recti  | ve Ao  | ction |        |          |      |      |     |      | Who | Original<br>Date                   | Revised<br>Date |
|------------|--|------|------|------|------|--------|--------|-------|--------|----------|------|------|-----|------|-----|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| 16.1.<br>8 | Feed information in joint (<br>business case   | CEO  |      |      | Data | a anal | ysis p | endin | ig pay | roll fix | (    |      |     |      | HP  | Mar 09                             | Apr 09          |
| Ref.       | Action   | Lead | July | Aug. | Sep. | Oct.   | Nov.   | Dec.  | Jan.   | Feb.     | Mar. | Apr. | May | June |     | Corrective .                       | Action          |
| 16.1       | Workforce Planning                             |      |      |      |      |        |        |       |        |          |      |      |     |      |     |                                    |                 |
| 16.1.8     | Feed information in joint<br>CEO business case |      |      |      |      |        |        |       |        |          |      |      |     |      |     | scanning compl<br>Ilysis pending p |                 |

This page is intentionally left blank

## Agenda Item 10

#### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

#### 18 MAY 2009

#### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

#### PMB RECOMMENDATIONS TRACKER

| Responsible Portfolio Holder | Councillor James Duddy<br>PMB Chairman    |
|------------------------------|---|
| Responsible Head of Service  | Hugh Bennett<br>Assistant Chief Executive |

#### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 To report to Performance Management Board on progress of resolutions and recommendations made by the Board from the April 2007 meeting onwards.

#### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

2.1 That The Board notes the attached update

#### 3. BACKGROUND

- 3.1 The previous report was submitted to the January 2009 meeting
- 3.2 Resolutions and recommendations that were reported as fully completed on the last report have been deleted from the document. Resolutions and recommendations up to and including the March 2009 meeting of the Board have been added. Progress on all outstanding actions is shown in **bold** text. Earlier progress reports, where they exist, are shown in normal text, so that members can see the history for each item. Items that are shown as completed in this report will be removed from the next version of the report.

#### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 There are no financial implications

#### 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

5.1 There are no legal implications.

#### 6. <u>COUNCIL OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 Tracking of recommendations links to the Improvement objective

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 There are no risks associated with this report

#### 8 CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

8.1 Performance Improvement is a Council Objective

#### 9. EQUALITIES AND DIVERSITY IMPLICATIONS

9.1 There are no implications for the Council's Equalities and Diversity Policies.

#### 10. VALUE FOR MONEY IMPLICATIONS

10.1 • There are no VFM implications

#### 11. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

Procurement Issues None

Personnel Issues None

Governance/Performance Management -

Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime & Disorder Act 1988 None

Policy None

Environmental None

#### 12. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

Please include the following table and indicate 'Yes' or 'No' as appropriate. Delete the words in italics.

| Portfolio Holder                                | No  |
|---|-----|
| Chief Executive                                 | No  |
| Executive Director (Partnerships & Projects)    | No  |
| Executive Director (Services)                   | No  |
| Assistant Chief Executive                       | Yes |
| Head of Service                                 | No  |
| Head of Financial Services                      | No  |
| Head of Legal, Equalities & Democratic Services | No  |
| Head of Organisational Development & HR         | No  |
| Corporate Procurement Team                      | No  |

#### 13. WARDS AFFECTED

All Wards'.

#### 14. **APPENDICES**

Appendix 1 Recommendations Tracker

#### 15. **BACKGROUND PAPERS**

None

#### **Contact officer**

John Outhwaite, Senior Policy & Performance Officer Name: j.outhwaite@bromsgrove.gov.uk email:

(01527) 881602 Tel:

| Meeting<br>date &<br>minute ref | Minute & Action   | Progress update  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| 20 May<br>2008                  |   |  |
| 7/08                            | NEIGHBOURHOOD AREA<br>COMMITTEE EVALUATION<br>RESOLVED that the Neighbourhood<br>Area Committee schemes be reviewed<br>again in 12 months' time.  | 07/08 This will be included in next years work programme<br>05/09 Will be included in the programme for January 2010   |
| 26/08                           | ANNUAL FINANCIAL AND<br>PERFORMANCE REPORT 2007/2008<br>RESOLVED<br>(b) that unemployment figures be<br>submitted to the Performance<br>Management Board's meeting scheduled<br>to be held on 16th September<br>2008. | 10/08 Figures will be supplied to the November meeting.<br>01/09 Not provided to November meeting. ACE to provide<br>figures to January meeting.<br>05/09 ACE will update May 09 meeting   |
| 19 August<br>2008               |   |  |
| 39/08                           | LOCAL NEIGHBOURHOOD<br>PARTNERSHIPS<br>RESOLVED that the progress report be<br>noted and the business case be<br>considered in due course.  | <ul> <li>10/08 A business case is currently being drafted.</li> <li>A project plan, financial plan, risk register and issues log have now been completed.</li> <li>01/09 The business case will be completed in January. Two consultation events with parish councils have also been undertaken.</li> <li>05/09 – Work on business case delayed due to vacancies.</li> <li>Posts now filled and work can re-commence. Business case to be completed by July</li> </ul> |

| Meeting<br>date &  | Minute & Action   | Progress update   |
|--------------------|---|---|
| minute ref         |   |   |
| 21 October<br>2008 |   |   |
| 59/08              | SPATIAL PROJECT MONITORING<br>REPORT<br>RESOLVED that the report be noted and<br>a further update be given at the next<br>meeting of the Board. | 01/09 Spatial project highlight reports have been reported to<br>PMB on a monthly basis. As this project has now finished,<br>reporting has stopped. A final report outlining the benefits of the<br>Spatial Project was presented to PMB on 16 <sup>th</sup> December 2008.<br>COMPLETED |
|                    |   | 01/09 A further report will go to PMB in December 2009 outlining the benefits that have been realised by the project  |
|                    |   | 05/09 Will be included in the programme for Dec 2009  |
| 18                 |   |   |
| November<br>2008   |   |   |
| 66/08              | INTEGRATED FINANCE AND<br>PERFORMANCE REPORT QUARTER<br>2   |   |
|                    | RESOLVED:   |   |
|                    | (e) that detailed information on sickness absence and sundry debtors be made  | 01/09 Sickness Absence action completed   |
|                    | available at the next meeting.  | 01/09 A verbal update on debtors has been provided, a full report<br>will come to the meeting in January 2009   |
| 16                 |   | 05/09 Reported at January 2009 meeting. COMPLETED   |
| December<br>2008   |   |   |
| 75/08              | ARTRIX PERFORMANCE REPORT   |   |
|                    | RESOLVED that a further Annual Review be undertaken in twelve months.   | 05/09 – Included in work programme for December 2009.<br>COMPLETED  |
| 76/08              | SPATIAL PROJECT BENEFITS  |   |

| Meeting<br>date &<br>minute ref | Minute & Action  | Progress update  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
|                                 | <ul> <li>(a) that a report on progress of the FM2 stage of the project be submitted in six months; and</li> <li>(b) that a further report on the Spatial Project be considered in twelve months time when more evidence has been obtained regarding the benefits of the project.</li> </ul>  | 05/09 Will be included in the programme for Dec 2009<br>05/09 Will be included in the programme for Dec 2009   |
| 77/08                           | SICKNESS ABSENCE<br>RESOLVED:<br>(a) that a further performance clinic be<br>arranged to look again at the<br>application of the Council's sickness<br>absence policy by Heads of Service<br>including progression to the informal<br>stage of the policy;<br>(b) that the policy itself be reconsidered<br>including the various "trigger points" set<br>out within the policy;<br>(c) that consideration be given to<br>introducing spot checks in relation to the<br>carrying out of return to work interviews<br>in order to promote a consistency of<br>approach;<br>(d) that officers produce a breakdown of<br>periods of short term sickness to<br>identify any particular patterns<br>(e) that a further report be considered at<br>the February Board Meeting. | 05/09 A further performance clinic has been held and a<br>number of actions are to be put in place to ensure that<br>procedures are being robustly applied and, if necessary,<br>further training for managers will be provided. From April<br>09 onwards a separate, more detailed, report will be<br>produced for sickness absence (as well as the summary<br>figures in the monthly performance report). This report will<br>go to CMT, Leader's Group and PMB. |
| 79/08                           | SIX MONTHLY REVIEW OF<br>ESTIMATED OUTTURN   |  |

#### **APPENDIX 1**

| Meeting<br>date &<br>minute ref | Minute & Action   | Progress update   |
|---------------------------------|---|---|
|                                 | RESOLVED:<br>a report be submitted to the Board in six<br>months in relation to the<br>employment of people with disabilities.<br>RECOMMENDED   | 05/09 Will be included in the programme for Dec 2009  |
|                                 | (a) that the corporate system be used to<br>record all performance information<br>both for corporately reported<br>performance indicators and<br>departmental performance indicators  | 05/09 – Corporate system is used to record all performance information. COMPLETED                           |
|                                 | (b) that as from 2009/20010 all<br>performance measures included in<br>Business Plans be required to have a<br>performance indicator procedure<br>note.   | 05/09 – This action is already included in the Data Quality<br>Strategy Work programme for 2009/10          |
| 81/08                           | WORK PROGRAMME 2008/2009<br>RESOLVED:<br>(a) that the Staff Survey Results be   | 05/09 Considered at March 2009 meeting. COMPLETED   |
|                                 | <ul> <li>(a) that the order of the order of</li></ul> | 05/09 Considered at March 2009 meeting. COMPLETED   |
| 20 January<br>2009              |   |   |
| 85/08                           | SUNDRY DEBTORS<br>RECOMMENDED that with a view to<br>streamlining the current sundry debt   | 05/09 The legal team have recently installed a case management system which will make the recovery of debts |

#### **APPENDIX 1**

| Meeting<br>date &   | Minute & Action  | Progress update   |
|---------------------|--|---|
| minute ref          |  |   |
|                     | collection process, the Portfolio Holder<br>for Finance and the Head of Financial<br>Services be requested to explore the<br>possibility of making use of Money Claim<br>Online, which is Her Majesty's Courts<br>Service online facility. | more efficient as cases can be managed more effectively.<br>The on-line court system has been used a number of times<br>by the Authority but this will be developed further during the<br>year with the evolvement of the new system. COMPLETED |
| 86/08               | PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT<br>STRATEGY<br>RESOLVED<br>That it be noted that progress made on<br>the implementation action plan will be<br>reported to the Board on an annual   | 05/09 Included in the work programme for February 2010.<br>COMPLETED  |
| 88/08               | basis.<br>IMPROVEMENT PLAN EXCEPTION<br>REPORT (NOVEMBER 2008)<br>RESOLVED:  |   |
|                     | that the Value for Money analysis<br>referred to by the Assistant Chief<br>Executive be submitted to this Board in<br>addition to the Audit Board.   | 05/09 Work is underway on this analysis and is expected to<br>be completed in Autumn, when it will be submitted to CMT,<br>Leader's Group and PMB   |
| 17 February<br>2009 |  |   |
| 94/08               | INTEGRATED FINANCE AND<br>PERFORMANCE REPORT (QUARTER<br>3)  |   |
|                     | RECOMMENDED:<br>(a) that the Cabinet recommend to the<br>Council the release of £150,00 from<br>balances to meet the projected<br>overspend in relation to Bromsgrove  | 05/09 March Cabinet noted the requirement of funding from balances and requested the exact figure be included in the Outturn report. COMPLETED  |

| Meeting<br>date &<br>minute ref | Minute & Action   | Progress update  |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
|                                 | Museum and Haybridge Sports Centre<br>(b) that officers be requested to<br>investigate the possibility of hiring out<br>the Burcot Room in order to generate<br>additional income.  | 05/09 – Investigations have shown that in order to have a realistic chance of hiring out the room then considerable refurbishment would be required, including replacing the windows. Rental income would not repay the outlay of refurbishment given the aim of moving out of this building in the medium term. |
| 96/08                           | UPDATE ON DATA QUALITY<br>STRATEGY ACTION PLAN<br>RECOMMENDED that further<br>procedures be introduced for the<br>2008/2009 closedown, in particular the<br>use of spot checks on high risk<br>indicators, prior to external audit and<br>during the year.  | 05/09 High risk indicators are being checked by Senior<br>Policy & Performance Officer. COMPLETED  |
| 17 March<br>2009                |   |  |
| 104/08                          | HOUSING STRATEGY 2006/2011 -<br>PROGRESS REPORT, MID TERM<br>REVIEW AND NEW ACTION PLAN<br>RECOMMENDED that the Portfolio<br>Holder for Housing and Environment be<br>requested to work with officers on the<br>following issues:<br>(a) researching the need for an outreach<br>worker for people with mental<br>health difficulties;<br>(b) researching the health needs of<br>homeless households and their ability<br>to access health services (possibly | 05/09 Work is underway on these actions  |

#### **APPENDIX 1**

| Meeting<br>date &<br>minute ref | Minute & Action   | Progress update |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------|
|                                 | through the Local Strategic<br>Partnership Board); and<br>(c) investigating the possibility of<br>promoting the availability of alternative<br>debt advice services in addition to the<br>Citizens' Advice Bureau, as other<br>providers may be able to provide a<br>service outside normal office hours. |                 |

#### BROMSGROVE DISTRICT COUNCIL

#### PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD

#### <u>18 MAY 2009</u>

# PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT BOARD PROPOSED PROGRAMME 2009/10

| Responsible Member          | Councillor - James Duddy, Performance<br>Management Board Chairman |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Responsible Head of Service | Hugh Bennett -Assistant Chief Executive                            |

#### 1. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1.1 This report sets out the agreed work programme for 2009/10.

#### 2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

- 2.1 It is recommended that:
  - i. The Board considers the programme.

#### 3 BACKGROUND

3.1 The Board now has an established programme for work, which links to the integrated financial/performance management cycle operated by the Council. This cycle will produce the usual run of reports, but the Board has an opportunity to consider including additional reports on areas it wishes to focus on.

#### 4. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

4.1 The proposed new timetable links to the financial planning cycle.

#### 5. <u>LEGAL IMPLICATIONS</u>

5.1 No legal implications to the report.

#### 6. <u>CORPORATE OBJECTIVES</u>

6.1 The Board's programme applies to all the Council's objectives.

#### 7. RISK MANAGEMENT

7.1 The Board has previously expressed an interest in risk management. This falls under the remit of the Audit Board; however, PMB can make recommendations to this Board or Cabinet on issues around risk management identified through its work.

#### 8. CUSTOMER IMPLICATIONS

9.1 The Board will receive customer complaints data during 2009/10 as part of the quarterly integrated financial and performance reports.

#### 9. OTHER IMPLICATIONS

| Procurement Issues N/A   |
|--|
| Personnel Implications N/A   |
| Governance/Performance Management N/A                                    |
| Community Safety including Section 17 of Crime and Disorder Act 1998 N/A |
| Policy N/A   |
| Environmental N/A  |
| Equalities and Diversity N/A   |

#### 10. OTHERS CONSULTED ON THE REPORT

| Portfolio Holders                       | Via E-Mail and at PMB. |
|---|------------------------|
| Chief Executive                         | Via e-mail.            |
| Corporate Director (Services)           | Via e-mail.            |
| Assistant Chief Executive               | Yes                    |
| Head of Service                         | Via e-mail.            |
| Head of Financial Services              | Via e-mail.            |
| Head of Legal & Democratic Services     | Via e-mail.            |
| Head of Organisational Development & HR | Via e-mail.            |
| Corporate Procurement Team              | Νο                     |

#### 11. APPENDICES

Appendix 1 – PMB Work Programme 2009/10

#### 12. BACKGROUND PAPERS

2008/09 PMB Work Programme.

#### **CONTACT OFFICERS**

| Name:   | Hugh Bennett                |
|---------|-----------------------------|
| E Mail: | h.bennett@bromsgrove.gov.uk |
| Tel:    | (01527) 881430              |

| Date   | Agenda Item   |
|--------|---|
| Apr 09 | TRAINING SESSION  |
|        | Period 11 09/10 Performance Report (distributed only, no meeting)                       |
|        | Period 11 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 2 progress report (distributed only, no meeting). |
| May 09 | Period 12 09/10 Integrated Finance & Performance report                                 |
|        | Period 12 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 2 progress report                                 |
|        | VFM Licensing Review (agreed with Chairman to delete this item).                        |
|        | Outturn and Targets Report.   |
|        | Customer Access Strategy.   |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |
|        | Quarterly Recommendation Tracker.   |
| Jun 09 | Period 1 09/10 Performance Report   |
|        | Period 1 Improvement Plan 2009/2010 Mark 4  |
|        | CPA Report and Direction of Travel  |
|        | PMB Work Programme  |
| Jul 09 | Period 2 09/010 Performance Report  |
|        | Period 2 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4  |
|        | Annual Financial and Performance Report 2008/2009.                                      |
|        | Local Neighbourhood Partnerships Business Case  |
|        | Quarterly Recommendation Tracker.   |
|        | PMB Work Programme  |
| Aug 09 | Quarter 1 09/10 Integrated Finance & Performance report.                                |
|        | Period 3 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.                                 |

#### Proposed Performance Management Board Work Programme 2009/10

|        | Data Quality Strategy 6 Month Update                          |
|--------|---|
|        |   |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |
| Sep 09 | Period 4 09/10 performance report                             |
|        | Period 4 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report        |
|        | Housing Inspection Report and Updated Inspection Action Plan. |
|        | Council Plan 2010/2013 Part 1                                 |
|        | PMB Work Programme  |
| Oct 09 | Period 5 09/10 Performance Report.                            |
|        | Period 5 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.       |
| Nov 09 | Quarter 2 09/10 Integrated Finance & Performance report.      |
|        | Period 6 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.       |
|        | Community Strategy Annual Report 2008/09.                     |
|        | Community Strategy 2010/2013.                                 |
|        | Quarterly Recommendation Tracker                              |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |
| Dec 09 | Period 7 09/10 Performance Report.                            |
|        | Period 7 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 3 progress report.       |
|        | Annual Artrix Performance Report                              |
|        | Spatial Project Benefits Review                               |
|        | 2008/2009 Predicted Outturn for Corporate Indicators.         |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |
|        |   |
| Jan 10 | Period 8 09/10 Performance Report                             |
|        | Period 8 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.       |
|        | Local Neighbourhood Partnership Review                        |
|        | Quarterly Recommendation Tracker                              |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |

| Feb 10 | Quarter 3 09/10 Integrated Finance & Performance report.                |
|--------|---|
|        | Period 9 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.                 |
|        | Performance Management Strategy Annual Update                           |
|        | 6 Month Review of Data Quality Strategy.                                |
|        | PMB Work Programme.   |
| Mar 10 | Period 10 07/08 Performance Report.                                     |
|        | Period 10 09/10 Improvement Plan Mark 4 progress report.                |
|        | Staff Survey Results (if undertaken)                                    |
|        | Housing Strategy Action Plan Update and Housing Inspection Action Plan. |
|        | Council Plan 2010-2013.   |
|        | PMB Work Programme 20010/2011.  |

This page is intentionally left blank